



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

1 S. Main St., 9th Floor
Mount Clemens, Michigan 48043
586.469.5125 ~ Fax: 586.469.5993
www.macombBOC.com

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

REGULAR SESSION

THURSDAY, OCTOBER 22, 2015

FINAL AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Roll Call
4. Invocation by **Commissioner Kathy Tocco**
5. Adoption of Agenda
6. Approval of Minutes dated 9-17-15 and 10-8-15 **(previously distributed)**
7. Public Participation (five minutes maximum per speaker, or longer at the discretion of the Chairperson related only to issues contained on the agenda)
8. Correspondence from Executive **(none)**
9. Introduction of DIA Director, Salvador Salort-Pons
10. **COMMITTEE REPORTS:**
 - a) Infrastructure/Economic Development, October 20 **(no report)**
 - b) Finance, October 21 **(page 1)** **(attached)**
11. 2015 Apportionment Report/Finance **(page 18)** **(attached)**
(referred by Finance Committee on 10-21-15)

MACOMB COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

David J. Flynn – Board Chair
District 4

Kathy Tocco – Vice Chair
District 11

Steve Marino – Sergeant-At-Arms
District 10

Andrey Duzyj – District 1
Don Brown – District 7

Marvin Sauger – District 2
Kathy Vosburg – District 8

Veronica Klinefelt – District 3
Fred Miller – District 9

Robert Mijac - District 5
Bob Smith – District 12

James Carabelli – District 6
Joe Sabatini – District 13

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
FINAL AGENDA
OCTOBER 22, 2015**

PAGE 2

12. Adopt Resolution Approving Creation of One Additional Circuit Court Judgeship in 2017 and a Second Additional Circuit Court Judgeship in 2019 (page 49) (attached)
13. Board Chair's Report (page 53) (attached)
14. Request by Commissioner Klinefelt - Waiver of Confidentiality for Corporation Counsel's 10-13-15 Memo to Commissioner Mijac Re: Art Authority Millage (page 75) (attached)
15. **PROCLAMATIONS:**
 - a) Commending Derek Gee – Eagle Scout (offered by Flynn; include Miller; recommended by Finance Committee on 10-21-15; previously provided at committee meeting)
 - b) Commending Bradley Heydel – Eagle Scout (offered by Flynn; include Miller; recommended by Finance Committee on 10-21-15; previously provided at committee meeting)
 - c) Proclaiming November 2015 as Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) Awareness Month (offered by Sauger; recommended by Finance Committee on 10-21-15) (page 78) (attached)
16. New Business
17. Public Participation (five minutes maximum per speaker or longer at the discretion of the Chairperson)
18. Roll Call
19. Adjournment



BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

1 S. Main St., 9th Floor
Mount Clemens, Michigan 48043
586.469.5125 ~ Fax: 586.469.5993
www.macombBOC.com

October 21, 2015

TO: BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
FROM: FRED MILLER, CHAIR, FINANCE COMMITTEE
RE: RECOMMENDATIONS FROM MEETING OF 10-21-15

At a meeting of the Finance Committee, held Wednesday, October 21, 2015, the following recommendations were made and are being forwarded to the October 22, 2015 Full Board meeting for approval:

1. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION – MOTION (SEE ATTACHED)

A motion was made by Flynn, supported by Sauger, to recommend that the Board of Commissioners adopt the SMART Public Transportation millage rate of 1.0000 mills for inclusion on the December 2015 levy; further, a copy of this Board of Commissioners’ action is directed to be delivered forthwith to the Office of the County Executive. **THE MOTION CARRIED.**

2. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION – MOTION (SEE ATTACHED)

A motion was made by Marino, supported by Duzyj, to recommend that the Board of Commissioners approve the award of bid and contract between the Department of Roads and Z Contractors, Inc. outlining the scope of work and project cost for the slope stabilization of the Cass Avenue Embankment; further, a copy of this Board of Commissioners’ action is directed to be delivered forthwith to the Office of the County Executive. **THE MOTION CARRIED WITH MILLER VOTING “NO.”**

3. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION – MOTION (SEE ATTACHED)

A motion was made by Carabelli, supported by Marino, to recommend that the Board of Commissioners approve the Cleo/Stream Secure Network Fax and Messaging System proposal/contract; further, a copy of this Board of Commissioners’ action is directed to be delivered forthwith to the Office of the County Executive. **THE MOTION CARRIED WITH DUZYJ VOTING “NO.”**

MACOMB COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

- | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|
| David J. Flynn – Board Chair | Kathy Tocco – Vice Chair | Steve Marino – Sergeant-At-Arms | | |
| Andy Duzyj – District 1 | Marvin Sauger – District 2 | Veronica Klinefelt – District 3 | Robert Mijac - District 5 | James Carabelli – District 6 |
| Don Brown – District 7 | Kathy Vosburg – District 8 | Fred Miller – District 9 | Bob Smith – District 12 | Joe Sabatini – District 13 |

4. COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION – MOTION (SEE ATTACHED)

A motion was made by Flynn, supported by Tocco, to recommend that the Board of Commissioners adopt Ordinance 2015-02 to amend Enrolled Ordinance No. 2015-01, entitled “FY 2016 Comprehensive General Appropriations Ordinance”, regarding the 7th and 8th recitals and Section 4, to correct certain inaccuracies identified by the Finance Department; further, a copy of this Board of Commissioners’ action is directed to be delivered forthwith to the Office of the County Executive.

THE MOTION CARRIED.

A MOTION TO ADOPT THE COMMITTEE REPORT WAS MADE BY CHAIR MILLER, SUPPORTED BY VICE-CHAIR BROWN.



MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Resolution Number:	Full Board Meeting Date:

RESOLUTION

Resolution to:

Adopt a SMART Public Transportation millage rate of 1.0000 mills for inclusion on the December 2015 levy.

Introduced By:

James Carabelli and Robert Mijac, Co-Chairs, Infrastructure/Economic Development Committee

Additional Background Information (If Needed):

State law requires that the County annually adopt millage rates for inclusion in the annual Apportionment Report. On August 5, 2014, the voters of Macomb County approved a four (4) year Countywide 1.0000 mill operating levy for the SMART Public Transportation system for the operating budget years 2015, 2016, 2017 and 2018. This is the second levy of the four years approved by the voters for this purpose. Attached is a letter from SMART requesting continuation of the 1.0000 millage, which will be levied in December.

Voter Approved	August 5, 2014
1st levy	December 2014
2nd levy	December 2015
3rd levy	December 2016
4th levy	December 2017

Committee	Meeting Date
Infrastructure/Economic Development	10-7-15 10-20-15 (referred to Finance)
Finance	10-21-15
Full Board	10-22-15



MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Resolution Number:	Full Board Meeting Date:

RESOLUTION

Resolution to:
<p>Approve the award of bid and contract between the Department of Roads and Z Contractors, Inc. outlining the scope of work and project cost for the slope stabilization of the Cass Avenue Embankment.</p>

Introduced By:
<p>Commissioners James Carabelli & Robert Mijac, Infrastructure/Economic Dev Committee</p>

Additional Background Information (If Needed):
<p>Project is budgeted in the Department of Roads Construction Budget</p>

Infrastructure/Economic Development

Committee

10/20/2015

Meeting Date

Finance

10-21-15

Full Board

10-22-15



Mark A. Hackel
County Executive

Corporation Counsel
John A. Schapka

MEMORANDUM

Assistant Corporation Counsel
Frank Krycia
Jill K. Smith
Robert S. Gazall

TO: David Flynn, Chairman, Macomb County Commissioner
FROM: John Schapka, Corporation Counsel *JAS*
DATE: October 21, 2015
RE: Contractor Performance Prior to BOC Contract Approval

This responds to your request for an opinion regarding the County's liability where a contractor begins performance in the absence of an approved contract.

Opinion: The County faced very limited liability where a contractor provides goods or services to the County in the absence of a contract approved in conformity with the County's contract approval procedures.

Basis: A contract is formed when four essential elements are satisfied:

- (1) An offer to do or refrain from doing some specified action in the future.
- (2) An exchange of consideration (something of value) which induces the parties to enter into the contract. The existence of consideration distinguishes a contract from a gift. A gift is a voluntary and gratuitous transfer of property from one person to another, without something of value promised in return. Failure to follow through on a promise to make a gift is not enforceable as a breach of contract because there is no consideration for the promise.
- (3) An unambiguous acceptance which may be expressed through words, deeds or performance as called for in the contract.
- (4) Mutuality (a meeting of the minds) demonstrating that the parties understood and agreed to the basic substance and terms of the contract.

A potential contractor's response to a solicitation (RFP) constitutes an offer which ordinarily takes the form of providing goods or services in exchange for payment. The goods or services and the reciprocal payment constitute the requisite exchange of consideration, and the

David Flynn, Chairman, Macomb County Commissioner

October 21, 2015

Page 2

terms and conditions reflected in a written contract document reflect the parties' mutual understanding and agreement thereto. To bind the County, however, the offer must first be accepted by the County.

Acceptance of an offer may be accomplished only through compliance with the County's contracting procedures which culminate with execution by the County Executive or his designee and, for those matters with a value of \$35,000 or more, approval by the Board of Commissioners. Absent such compliance, the County has not accepted the offer, and as to the County, no contract is formed.

In the event a contractor has partially or fully performed in the absence of a fully formed contract, the contractor may seek payment or remedies against the individual County official or officer who knew of and approved the commencement of the contractor's performance under the contract remedy theories of either quantum meruit or detrimental reliance.

Under limited circumstances, the contractor may also pursue its remedies directly against the County under the doctrine of unjust enrichment.

Unjust enrichment is an equitable principle that no person should be allowed to profit at another's expense without making restitution for the reasonable value of any goods, services, or other benefit that has been unfairly received and retained. Although it is often referred to as a quasi-contractual remedy, the unjust enrichment doctrine is not based on the existence of an express contract.

Unjust enrichment has three elements.

- (1) The plaintiff provided the defendant with something of value while expecting compensation in return;
- (2) The defendant must have acknowledged, accepted, and benefited from whatever the plaintiff provided.
- (3) Third, the plaintiff must show that it would be inequitable or unconscionable for the defendant to enjoy the benefit of the plaintiff's actions without paying for it.

To the extent it may concern the County, the key element is whether the County accepted and derived a benefit from the goods or services provided in the absence of a contractual agreement to do so. Where the County is the direct beneficiary (e.g., consumable supplies, facilities renovations, vehicle maintenance), recovery is more likely. Conversely, where the general public or community is the direct beneficiary (e.g. substance abuse counselling, mental health services, WIC programs), recovery is less likely.

JAS/mla

Opinion Regarding Cass Avenue Embankment Project to Z Contractors, Inc.

Hoepfner, Bob <BHoepfner@rcmcweb.org>
To: Andrew Kim <andrew.kim@macombgov.org>
Cc: Mark D <deldin@macombgov.org>

Wed, Oct 21, 2015 at 1:33 PM

Also be aware that if we delay through winter the failure could worsen and also cost more. To get actual costs I would have to make a request to the contractor.

The contract costs that we know about are:

- Mobilization \$46,000. If we ask to move out and move back in we would pay again.
- Traffic Control Items. \$132,417.52. If we remove we would have to replace.
- Cost of purchased materials.
- Increases in labor and fuel costs.
- Lost profit. 10% (\$46,000).

\$250,000 to \$300,000 would not be far off.

Robert P. Hoepfner, P.E., Director
Macomb County Department of Roads



MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Resolution Number:	Full Board Meeting Date:

RESOLUTION

Resolution to:

Approval the Cleo/Stroom Secure Network Fax and Messaging System proposal/contract

Introduced By:

Co-chair Commissioner Carabelli and Co-Chair Commissioner Mijac

Additional Background Information (If Needed):

The purpose of this project is to upgrade the current Health's Department's Cleo/Stroom Fax system. The system hardware and software is over ten years old and is no longer covered under warranty or supported by Cleo Stroom. The current system is used by the Health Department to send mass faxes to different agencies, schools, restaurants, hospitals, etc. This system is part of the Health Department's Emergency Preparedness requirement.

Committee	Meeting Date
Infrastructure/Economic Development	10-20-15 09/15/2015
Finance	10-21-15
Full Board	10-22-15



MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Resolution Number:	Full Board Meeting Date:

RESOLUTION

Resolution to:

Adopt amendments to the 7th and 8th recitals and Section 4 of Ordinance 2015-01 (FY 2016 Comprehensive General Appropriations Ordinance) to correct certain inaccuracies identified by the Finance Department.

Introduced By:

Fred Miller, Chair, Finance Committee

Additional Background Information (If Needed):

The Finance Department has alerted the Board of Commissioners about certain inaccuracies and other concerns about the FY 2016 Comprehensive General Appropriations Ordinance that should be addressed by amendment of that ordinance. The items in question have been highlighted in yellow.

Committee	Meeting Date
Finance	10-21-15

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN**

**ENROLLED ORDINANCE
No: 2015-0__**

**AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND ENROLLED ORDINANCE NO. 2015-01, ENTITLED "FY
2016 COMPREHENSIVE GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS ORDINANCE"**

INTRODUCED BY COMMISSIONER _____, SUPPORTED BY COMMISSIONER _____.

WHEREAS, the Finance Department has alerted the Board of Commissioners (the "Commission") about certain inaccuracies and other concerns about the FY 2016 Comprehensive General Appropriations Ordinance that could be addressed by timely amendment to that ordinance.

THE PEOPLE OF THE CHARTER COUNTY OF MACOMB ORDAIN:

Section 1. Amendment. The 7th and 8th recitals of Ordinance No. 2015-01, entitled "FY 2016 Comprehensive General Appropriations Ordinance," are amended to read as follows:

WHEREAS, the estimated total revenues by source and expenditures by fund being adopted herein are as follows:

<u>Revenues</u>	<u>General Fund</u>	<u>Other Funds</u>	<u>Total</u>
Property Taxes	\$ 119,492,908	\$ 1,137,120	\$ 120,630,028
Licenses & Permits	1,324,540	920,202	2,244,742
Intergovernmental	31,312,075	148,635,372	179,947,447
Charges for Services	31,525,836	248,765,149	280,290,985
Investment Income	225,000	143,622	368,622
Fines & Forfeitures	559,750	265,000	824,750
Reimbursements	8,516,108	916,116	9,432,224
Indirect Cost Allocation	13,590,378	52,350	13,642,728
Other Revenue	107,300	2,122,114	2,229,414
Transfers In	8,000,000	38,511,631	46,511,631
Fund Balance Utilization	11,609,427	17,398,347	29,007,774
Total Revenues	\$ 226,263,322	\$ 458,867,023	\$ 685,130,345

Expenditures	Total
General Fund	\$ 226,263,322
Circuit Court Programs	400,401
Child Care Fund	21,828,387
Community Corrections-Dec Year End	131,760
Community Corrections-Sep Year End	1,367,262
Community Services-Dec Year End	8,901,386
Community Services-Sep Year End	29,104,786
Department of Human Services	200,000
Friend of the Court	10,402,493
Health Grants-Dec Year End	115,352
Health Grants-Sep Year End	6,036,264
Homeland Security Grants	3,450,000
Michigan Works!	3,975,944
MSUE Grants-Dec Year End	30,000
MSUE Grants-Sep Year End	23,500
Planning Grant Fund	1,317,000
Prosecuting Attorney Forfeitures	5,000
Prosecuting Attorney Grants-Sep Year End	2,543,094
Register of Deeds Remonumentation Fund	205,000
Register of Deeds Technology Fund	1,471,900
Concealed Pistol License Fund	166,502
Roads	94,635,144
Sheriff Grants-Dec Year End	382,600
Sheriff Grants-Sep Year End	2,017,374
Veterans' Affairs	1,298,061
Community Mental Health	225,663,829
Freedom Hill Park	513,980
Substance Abuse	15,366,521
Debt Service Fund	27,313,483
Total Expenditures	\$ 685,130,345

WHEREAS, the estimated expenditures by budget center in the General Fund being adopted herein are as follows:

Legislative	
Board of Commissioners	\$ 1,582,457
Judicial	
Circuit Court	\$ 11,567,062
District Court - Romeo	1,270,254
District Court - New Baltimore	1,284,972
District Court - 3rd Class	25,000
Family Counseling	185,797
Jury Commission	186,340
Juvenile Court	5,256,338
Law Library	35,800
Probate Court	3,142,476
Probation - Circuit Court	119,100
Probation - District Court	473,890
Prosecuting Attorney	9,808,793
	\$ 33,355,822
General Government	
Building Authority	\$ 1,300
Clerk	4,762,317
Corporation Counsel	952,533
County Executive	1,552,818
Equalization	960,499
Elections	30,300
Ethics Board	59,000
Facilities & Operations	15,481,644
Finance	2,261,869
Human Resources	2,336,679
Information Technology	7,358,764
MSU Extension	904,981
Planning & Economic Development	3,159,749
Purchasing	1,419,954
Register of Deeds	1,889,830
Treasurer	2,330,252
Non Departmental Appropriations	(10,156,465)
	\$ 35,306,024
Public Safety	
Civil Service Commission	\$ 60,925
Emergency Management	1,225,913
Sheriff	65,463,972
	\$ 66,750,810
Public Works	
Public Works Commissioner	\$ 6,642,903
Health & Welfare	
Health and Community Services	\$ 295,871
Health Department	21,232,449
Resident County Hospitalization	72,472
	\$ 21,600,792
Capital Outlay	\$ 1,037,156
Transfers Out	\$ 59,987,358
Total Expenditures	\$ 226,263,322

Section 2. Amendment. Section 4 of Ordinance No. 2015-01, entitled "FY 2016 Comprehensive General Appropriations Ordinance," is amended to read as follows:

Section 4. Budget Adoption. This is the budget for funds ending September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2016 that includes the Recommended Budget with the following changes and others provided in this ordinance.

A. This General Appropriations Ordinance is inserted in the document at the beginning of the Adopted Budget as a substitute for the proposed general appropriations ordinance in the budget proposed by the Executive.

B. Funds may only be spent in compliance with County policies, including any policies in effect as of the date of this ordinance and any policies adopted by the Commission after the date of this ordinance. No funds are expended or used for any activities, goods, services or contracts that violate such policies or that are procured in ways that violate such policies.

C. The following changes made by the Commission in amounts for specific line items are included as part of the FY 2016 Budget.

ITEM REF.	PAGE NO.	DEPARTMENT	FUND	EXPENDITURE / [REVENUE] CATEGORY	LINE ITEM	EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDED	COMMISSION APPROVED	DIFFERENCE
A	C-69	931 - APPROPRIATIONS	101- GENERAL FUND	n/a	Soil Conservation	\$13,650	\$0	(\$13,650)
B	C-42	60102 - HEALTH & COMMUNITY SERVICES	101- GENERAL FUND	Contract Services	n/a	\$9,000	\$4,000	(\$5,000)
C	C-69	931 - APPROPRIATIONS	101- GENERAL FUND	n/a	Classification Study	\$500,000	\$50,000	(\$450,000)
D	C-20	131 - CIRCUIT COURT	101- GENERAL FUND	Capital Outlay	n/a	\$15,000	\$0	(\$15,000)
E	D-32	CIRCUIT COURT	FRIEND OF THE COURT	Overtime Wages	n/a	\$40,000	\$1,000	(\$39,000)
E.1	D-32	CIRCUIT COURT	FRIEND OF THE COURT	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$385,637	\$382,653	(\$2,984)
E.2	D-32	CIRCUIT COURT	FRIEND OF THE COURT	Pension/Retiree Health Care	n/a	\$1,686,730	\$1,680,911	(\$5,819)
E.3	D-32	CIRCUIT COURT	FRIEND OF THE COURT	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$70,874	\$70,321	(\$553)
E.4	D-32	CIRCUIT COURT	FRIEND OF THE COURT	[Intergovernmental]	n/a	\$6,700,000	\$6,675,822	(\$24,178)
F	D-32	CIRCUIT COURT	FRIEND OF THE COURT	Transfers In - General Fund	n/a	\$2,930,849	\$2,906,671	(\$24,178)
G	C-68	930 - OPERATING TRANSFERS	101- GENERAL FUND	Operating Transfers Out	Friend of the Court	\$2,930,849	\$2,906,671	(\$24,178)
H	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	Full Time Wages	n/a	\$2,521,799	\$2,596,718	\$74,919
I	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$201,042	\$206,773	\$5,731
J	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	Pension/Retiree Health Care	n/a	\$711,969	\$732,058	\$20,089
K	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	Employee Health/Dental/Life Ins	n/a	\$459,720	\$472,490	\$12,770
L	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$35,777	\$36,841	\$1,064
M	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	Internal Services	n/a	\$79,340	\$81,387	\$2,047
N	C-44	204 - INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	101- GENERAL FUND	Capital Outlay	n/a	\$0	\$12,340	\$12,340
O	D-28	COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY	COMMUNITY SERVICES	Part Time Wages	n/a	\$2,479,772	\$2,486,852	\$7,080
O.1	D-28	COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY	COMMUNITY SERVICES	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$555,966	\$556,508	\$542
O.2	D-28	COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY	COMMUNITY SERVICES	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$272,178	\$272,195	\$17
P	D-28	COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY	COMMUNITY SERVICES	Transfers In - General Fund	n/a	\$3,178,986	\$3,186,625	\$7,639
Q	D-31	COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY	COMMUNITY SERVICES	n/a	Transportation	\$881,163	\$888,802	\$7,639
R	C-68	930 - OPERATING TRANSFERS	101- GENERAL FUND	Operating Transfers Out	Community Services	\$3,178,986	\$3,186,625	\$7,639
S	C-69	931 - APPROPRIATIONS	101- GENERAL FUND	n/a	OneMacomb	\$0	\$8,500	\$8,500
T	C-69	931 - APPROPRIATIONS	101- GENERAL FUND	n/a	Turning Point - Prevention Education	\$0	\$15,000	\$15,000
U	C-69	931 - APPROPRIATIONS	101- GENERAL FUND	n/a	St. Clair/Sanilac Conservation District	\$5,000	\$25,000	\$20,000
V	C-67	932 - NON-DEPARTMENTAL	101- GENERAL FUND	Supplies & Services	n/a	\$1,851,450	\$1,501,300	(\$350,150)
W	C-67	932 - NON-DEPARTMENTAL	101- GENERAL FUND	Transfers Out	n/a	\$59,884,659	\$59,987,358	\$102,699

ITEM REF.	PAGE NO.	DEPARTMENT	FUND	EXPENDITURE / [REVENUE] CATEGORY	LINE ITEM	EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDED	COMMISSION APPROVED	DIFFERENCE
X	C-69	931 - APPROPRIATIONS	101- GENERAL FUND	n/a	Survivors of Suicide / LOSS / MERG	\$0	\$70,000	\$70,000
Y	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	Full Time Wages	n/a	\$17,287,933	\$17,312,298	\$24,365
Z	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$1,312,088	\$1,316,268	\$4,180
AA	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	Pension/Retiree Health Care	n/a	\$5,513,913	\$5,528,653	\$14,740
BB	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	Employee Health/Dental/Life Ins	n/a	\$4,290,719	\$4,300,069	\$9,350
CC	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$242,413	\$243,183	\$770
DD	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	Internal Services	n/a	\$1,847,035	\$1,848,520	\$1,485
EE	E-2	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH	Transfers In - General Fund	n/a	\$3,878,745	\$3,933,635	\$54,890
FF	C-68	930 - OPERATING TRANSFERS	101- GENERAL FUND	Operating Transfers Out	Community Mental Health	\$3,878,745	\$3,933,635	\$54,890
GG	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	Full Time Wages	n/a	\$1,244,048	\$1,359,886	\$115,838
HH	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$97,703	\$106,565	\$8,862
II	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	Pension/Retiree Health Care	n/a	\$381,654	\$416,757	\$35,103
JJ	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	Employee Health/Dental/Life Ins	n/a	\$280,940	\$306,480	\$25,540
KK	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$18,018	\$19,663	\$1,645
LL	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	Internal Services	n/a	\$179,519	\$181,836	\$2,317
MM	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	[Intergovernmental]	n/a	\$1,414,995	\$1,539,952	\$124,957
NN	D-40	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	PROSECUTING ATTORNEY GRANTS	Transfers In - General Fund	n/a	\$899,412	\$963,760	\$64,348
OO	C-68	930 - OPERATING TRANSFERS	101- GENERAL FUND	Operating Transfers Out	Prosecuting Attorney Grants	\$899,412	\$963,760	\$64,348
PP	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	Full Time Wages	n/a	\$5,847,416	\$5,902,976	\$55,560
QQ	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$459,017	\$463,267	\$4,250
RR	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	Pension/Retiree Health Care	n/a	\$1,609,897	\$1,618,187	\$8,290
SS	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	Employee Health/Dental/Life Ins	n/a	\$1,059,910	\$1,059,910	\$0
TT	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$84,188	\$84,977	\$789
UU	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	Internal Services	n/a	\$177,363	\$178,474	\$1,111
VV	C-53	229 - PROSECUTING ATTORNEY	101- GENERAL FUND	[Fines & Forfeitures]	n/a	\$0	\$70,000	\$70,000
WW	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	Full Time Wages	n/a	\$3,742,059	\$3,830,049	\$87,990
XX	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	FICA/Medicare	n/a	\$298,361	\$305,113	\$6,732
YY	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	Pension/Retiree Health Care	n/a	\$1,116,060	\$1,138,100	\$22,040
ZZ	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	Employee Health/Dental/Life Ins	n/a	\$778,970	\$791,740	\$12,770
AAA	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	Workers Comp/Unemployment/ Other	n/a	\$53,629	\$54,878	\$1,249
BBB	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	Internal Services	n/a	\$239,566	\$241,326	\$1,760
CCC	C-57	441 - PUBLIC WORKS	101- GENERAL FUND	[Charges for Services]	n/a	\$958,000	\$1,090,541	\$132,541

D. The following changes made by the Commission to correct errata in the Recommended Budget proposed by the Executive shall be amended in the adopted budget. However, this chart does not need to be included in the Adopted Budget.

ITEM REF	PAGE NO.	AMENDMENT	ADDITIONAL DESCRIPTION
A	C-67	Modify Page Reference from C-72 to C-69	Typographical Error
B	A-12	Modify Organization Chart as referenced in the Organization Plan adopted by the Commission on March, 2015	None
C	C-41 D-8 Appendix-5 Appendix-6	Remove Animal Control Department from Health Department budget center and create a separate budget center. Modify Position Schedule by removing Animal Control Department from Health Department and adding as new section	As per Organization Plan adopted by the Commission on March, 2015
D	Appendix-12 Appendix-17	Remove Position Schedule for Office of Senior Services and include within Position Schedule for Community Services Agency	None
E	Appendix-15	Modify Position Schedule for Michigan Works with the following: 2 Customer Services Supervisor; 40 Case Manager 0 Business Consultant	None
F	D-42 Appendix-19 Appendix-20	Modify total number of positions for Roads Department by adding 4 additional positions.	As per Bob Hoepfner during 08/18/15 Department Budget Review
G	Various	Modify Audited 2014 actual values with values from 2014 CAFR for all departments	None
H	Various	Modify Budget Center Position count(s) and Position Schedule (in the Appendix) to reflect all changes that are included in Section 4.C.	None
I	C-68	Modify "Park" to "PARKS FUND"	Typographical Error

E. Some of the additional funds appropriated for specific line items as detailed in subsection 4.C, were appropriated to address particular needs and shall therefore be used only for the purposes and in the manner detailed below.

1. The additional \$12,340 appropriated to Information Technology as detailed in Item N in the table in subsection 4.C shall be used only for the purchase of electronic hardware and software for the Michigan Works Veterans Employability Boot Camp program and only if those laptops remain County-owned.
2. The additional \$7,639 appropriated to Community Services Agency as detailed in Items O thru R in the table in subsection 4.C shall be used only for funding a part-time driver for home-delivered meals who will serve in situations when volunteer drivers are unavailable.
3. The additional \$8,500 appropriated to the OneMacomb Initiative as detailed in Item S in the table in subsection 4.C shall be used only as follows:
 - a. \$4,000 for funding preparation of a written strategic plan;
 - b. \$2,500 for funding "Host Employee Lunch and Learns;" and
 - c. \$2,000 for installing multi-language signage in County buildings.

4. The additional \$20,000 appropriated to the St. Clair/Sanilac Conservation District as detailed in Item U may be expended only if there is an independent financial audit of the past 5 fiscal years conducted for the Macomb Conservation District by an independent auditing firm and only if there is a fully signed contract between the St. Clair/Sanilac Conservation District and Macomb County, in a form acceptable to County Corporation Counsel, recommended by the Executive, and approved by the Commission.

5. The additional \$70,000 appropriated to Appropriations as detailed in Item X in the table in subsection 4.C shall be used for outside agency to staff 1 Full Time Program person to facilitate the Survivors of Suicide (SOS) support group(s) in Macomb County. The program staff will be involved with coordinating and growing the support groups and coordinating the Local Outreach to Survivors of Suicide (LOSS) team, a group that is currently comprised of trained volunteers that meets with family/friends after a suicide to provide support, resources, and referrals. The program staff would be responsible for recruiting and training volunteers and responding to emergency calls. This program staff will also be involved with coordinating the Macomb Emergency Response Group (MERG), also an all-volunteer group that currently responds to workplace violence incidents. This funding shall also be used for any additional administrative costs for the outside agency. Contract with outside agency shall undergo a competitive bid process as outlined by the County's Procurement Ordinance.

6. The additional \$54,890 appropriated to Community Mental Health as detailed in Items Y thru FF in the table in subsection 4.C shall be used to convert the current 0.5 FTE to a 1.0 FTE for CMH's Post Booking Jail Diversion (PBJD) program, to screen potential jail inmates and divert those qualified to CMH facilities rather than jail incarceration.

F. All funds appropriated by this ordinance and all County Agencies and activities funded in whole or in part by funds appropriated by this ordinance shall comply with the following:

1. No County funds shall be expended for acquiring or using any goods or services or engaging in any activities that violate Ordinance No. 2014-10 ("County Seal Use Ordinance").
2. No County funds shall be expended to obtain goods or services from, to pay for goods or services provided by, or to in any way compensate or support any entity that is an entity recognized or qualified as an entity described by subsection 501(c)(4) or section 527 of the United States Internal Revenue Code, unless a contract with that entity is first approved by the Commission.
3. No County funds may be expended on any additional placement of a current County elected official's name or likeness on any vehicle, building, billboard, County signage, or County promotional materials. Funds may be expended to place a County elected official's name on directional signage or on signage identifying the office space(s) regularly occupied by a County elected official or to identify a County elected official's seat or place at a meeting. This provision shall not prevent the use of funds other than County funds for such purposes.

Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective immediately upon publication of a notice of enactment.

DAVID FLYNN
Chair, Macomb County Commission

CARMELLA SABAUGH
Macomb County Clerk/Register of Deeds

Passed at 10-__-2015 Full Board Meeting



Macomb County Executive Mark A. Hackel

Mark F. Deldin
Deputy County Executive

To: David Flynn, Board Chair

From: Pamela J. Lavers, Assistant County Executive *PJL*

Date: October 14, 2015

RE: Agenda Item – Finance, 2015 Apportionment Report

Attached you will find documentation and a resolution from Finance Director, Stephen Smigiel, to adopt the 2015 Macomb County Apportionment Report as prepared by the Finance Department.

The report presents an in-depth analysis of millage rates and property taxes levied by the various taxing authorities in the County.

The Executive Office respectfully submits this agenda item for the Commission's consideration and recommends approval of the 2015 Apportionment Report, as stated above.

PJL/smf

cc: Stephen Smigiel



MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

Resolution Number:	Full Board Meeting Date:

RESOLUTION

Resolution to:

Adopt the 2015 Macomb County Apportionment Report as prepared by the Finance Department.

Introduced By:

Fred Miller, Chair, Finance Committee

Additional Background Information (If Needed):

As required by State Statute, the County must adopt the annual Apportionment Report at its October Session.

Committee	Meeting Date
Finance	10-21-15 (referred to Full Board)
Full Board	10-22-15



Mark A. Hackel
 County Executive

Stephen L. Smigiel, CPA
 Finance Director

Michelle M. Mykytiak
 Assistant Finance Director
 Fiscal Services

October 14, 2015

Date


Office of County Executive
 County of Macomb
 One South Main, 8th Floor
 Mount Clemens, MI 48043

Finance Department
 REQUEST APPROVAL / ADOPTION OF
 Adopt the 2015 Apportionment Report

<p>SUBJECT: 2015 Macomb County Apportionment Report</p>
<p>IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE EXECUTIVE SUBMIT TO THE BOARD: The 2015 Apportionment Report for adoption at its October Board meeting, as required by State Statute</p>
<p>PURPOSE / JUSTIFICATION: The report presents an in-depth analysis of millage rates and property taxes levied by the various taxing authorities in the County.</p>
<p>FISCAL IMPACT / FINANCING: N/A</p>

FACTS AND PROVISION / LEGAL REQUIREMENTS: The General Property Tax Law requires the County Board of Commissioners to approve the apportionment report at its regular session in October of each year and, upon approval, direct the various taxing authorities in the County to levy the millage rates included in the report against all taxable property located within their boundaries.
CONTRACTING PROCESS: N/A
IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (PROJECTS): N/A

Respectfully submitted,



Signature

Stephen L. Smigiel, Finance Director
Finance Department



2015 APPORTIONMENT REPORT

**Prepared by: Stephen L. Smigiel
Finance Director**

As Presented to the Finance Committee October 21, 2015



**Mark A. Hackel
County Executive**



FINANCE DEPARTMENT
120 N. Main St., 2nd Floor
Mount Clemens, Michigan 48043
Phone: 586-469-5250 Fax: 586-469-5066
www.macombgov.org

23

Stephen L. Smigiel, CPA
Finance Director

Michelle M. Mykytiak
Assistant Finance Director
Fiscal Services

October 21, 2015

Chairman David Flynn and
The Macomb County Board of Commissioners
1 South Main Street, 9th Floor
Mount Clemens, MI 48043

RE: 2015 Property Tax Apportionment and Tax Levy Report

The 2015 Apportionment Report is hereby submitted for your consideration and approval. The report presents an in-depth analysis of millage rates and property taxes levied by the various taxing authorities in the County. The General Property Tax Law requires the County Board of Commissioners to approve the apportionment report at its regular session in October of each year and, upon approval, direct the various taxing authorities in the County to levy the millage rates included in the report against all taxable property located within their boundaries.

The apportionments and millages included in the report give effect to:

1. Application of and compliance with Section 31, Article 9 of the State Constitution of 1963 as required by Section 211.34d of the Michigan Compiled Laws (Headlee Amendment).
2. Public Act 206 of 1893, Section 31(1), (MCLA 211.34(1)), as amended, requiring rollback tax rates when State Tax Commission increases equalized value of the County.
3. Public Act 213 of 1981, which amends Section 211.34, so that a Township or City would be restricted to an operating levy based on local assessed valuation rather than the full levy based on State Equalized Valuation (Truth in Assessing Act).
4. Public Act 5 of 1982, which added Section 211.24e, requiring counties, cities, villages, school districts, townships, etc., that levied more than one mill for operating purposes to hold an advertised public hearing for the purpose of increasing property taxes (Truth in Taxation Act).
5. Public Act 312 of 1993, which amends MCLA 380-1211, so that school districts shall levy not more than 18 mills for school operating purposes or the number of mills levied in 1993 for school operating purposes, whichever is less. Homestead property is exempt from the mills levied under this subsection.
6. Total authorized taxes are shown even though a portion of said taxes may be spread at a time other than the winter levy.

Chairman David Flynn and
The Macomb County Board of Commissioners
October 21, 2015
Page 2 of 2

I respectfully request that the Board give consideration to the passage of the following motion:

"We, the Macomb County Board of Commissioners, do hereby approve the tax apportionment and millages as contained within the attached report for the tax year 2015, and order same be entered at large on the County records and that this Board hereby direct that such of the several millages and assessments proposed be levied for the County, Townships, Cities, Schools, highway, drain and all other purposes as shall be authorized by law, and be spread against the equalized values of properties upon the proper townships and cities in accordance with the law."

Please do not hesitate to contact my office should you have any questions regarding the enclosed information.

Sincerely,



Stephen L. Smigiel
Finance Director

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED TAX (MILLAGE) RATE TOTALS
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	HOMESTEAD TOTAL	NON- HOMESTEAD TOTAL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL TOTAL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL TOTAL	PRIOR YEAR RATES			
					HOMESTEAD TOTAL	NON- HOMESTEAD TOTAL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL TOTAL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL TOTAL
ARMADA TOWNSHIP								
Armada	29.2219	47.2219	35.2219	23.2219 (5)	29.2179	47.2179	35.2179	23.2179
Romeo	26.6263	44.6263	32.6263	20.6263 (5) (7)	26.6785	44.6785	32.6785	20.6785
BRUCE TOWNSHIP								
Romeo	30.3027	48.3027	36.3027	24.3027 (5) (7)	30.3769	48.3769	36.3769	24.3769
Armada	32.8983	50.8983	38.8983	26.8983 (5)	32.9163	50.9163	38.9163	26.9163
Almont (Lapeer County)	34.4943	52.0317	40.0317	28.4943 (1) (5)	34.5134	52.0508	40.0508	28.5134
CHESTERFIELD TOWNSHIP								
New Haven	35.4580	53.4580	41.4580	29.4580 (5)	34.1199	52.1199	40.1199	28.1199
L'Anse Creuse	33.2880	51.2880	39.2880	27.2880 (5)	33.2999	51.2999	39.2999	27.2999
Anchor Bay	36.2880	54.2880	42.2880	30.2880 (5)	37.5999	55.5999	43.5999	31.5999
CLINTON TOWNSHIP								
Mt. Clemens	47.2929	65.2929	53.2929	41.2929 (5)	45.8789	63.8789	51.8789	39.8789
Chippewa Valley	41.5365	59.5365	47.5365	35.5365 (5)	41.5262	59.5262	47.5262	35.5262
Clintondale	45.8965	62.6608	50.6608	39.8965 (5)	45.8862	62.6505	50.6505	39.8862
Fraser	39.8965	57.8749	45.8965	33.8965 (5)	39.8862	57.8862	45.8862	33.8862
L'Anse Creuse	39.8965	57.8965	45.8965	33.8965 (5)	39.8862	57.8862	45.8862	33.8862
HARRISON TOWNSHIP								
L'Anse Creuse	31.3535	49.3535	37.3535	25.3535	31.3889	49.3889	37.3889	25.3889
LENOX TOWNSHIP								
Anchor Bay	32.8399	50.8399	38.8399	26.8399	34.1359	52.1359	40.1359	28.1359
New Haven	32.0099	50.0099	38.0099	26.0099	30.6559	48.6559	36.6559	24.6559
Richmond	28.3999	46.3999	34.3999	22.3999	28.7959	46.7959	34.7959	22.7959
Armada	30.6793	48.6793	36.6793	24.6793	30.6753	48.6753	36.6753	24.6753
MACOMB TOWNSHIP								
Chippewa Valley	30.2073	48.2073	36.2073	24.2073 (5)	30.2159	48.2159	36.2159	24.2159
L'Anse Creuse	28.5673	46.5673	34.5673	22.5673 (5)	28.5759	46.5759	34.5759	22.5759
New Haven	30.7373	48.7373	36.7373	24.7373 (5)	29.3959	47.3959	35.3959	23.3959
Utica	25.4173	43.3255	31.3255	19.4173 (5)	25.4259	43.3575	31.3575	19.4259
RAY TOWNSHIP								
Utica	24.0042	41.9124	29.9124	18.0042	24.0046	41.9362	29.9362	18.0046
New Haven	29.3242	47.3242	35.3242	23.3242	27.9746	45.9746	33.9746	21.9746
Armada	27.9936	45.9936	33.9936	21.9936	27.9940	45.9940	33.9940	21.9940
Romeo	25.3980	43.3980	31.3980	19.3980 (7)	25.4546	43.4546	31.4546	19.4546

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED TAX (MILLAGE) RATE TOTALS
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	HOMESTEAD TOTAL	NON- HOMESTEAD TOTAL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL TOTAL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL TOTAL	PRIOR YEAR RATES			
					HOMESTEAD TOTAL	NON- HOMESTEAD TOTAL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL TOTAL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL TOTAL
<u>RICHMOND TOWNSHIP</u>								
Armada	28.1224	46.1224	34.1224	22.1224	28.1634	46.1634	34.1634	22.1634
Richmond	25.8430	43.8430	31.8430	19.8430	26.2840	44.2840	32.2840	20.2840
Memphis(St. Clair Cty)	26.5696	44.5228	32.5228	20.5696 (2)	28.4506	46.4038	34.4038	22.4506
<u>SHELBY TOWNSHIP</u>								
Utica	29.7512	47.6594	35.6594	23.7512	29.7472	47.6788	35.6788	23.7472
Romeo	31.1450	49.1450	37.1450	25.1450 (7)	31.1972	49.1972	37.1972	25.1972
Rochester(Oakland Cty)	32.5215	50.5215	38.5215	26.5215 (3)	32.7232	50.7232	38.7232	26.7232
<u>WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP</u>								
Romeo	30.3486	48.3486	36.3486	24.3486 (5) (7)	29.4238	47.4238	35.4238	23.4238
Utica	28.9548	46.8630	34.8630	22.9548 (5)	27.9738	45.9054	33.9054	21.9738
Rochester(Oakland Cty)	31.7251	49.7251	37.7251	25.7251 (3) (5)	30.9498	48.9498	36.9498	24.9498
Romeo(Woodland Acres)	30.3486	48.3486	36.3486	24.3486 (5) (7)	29.4238	47.4238	35.4238	23.4238
<u>CITY, CENTER LINE</u>								
Center Line	74.1016	76.0016	76.0016	68.1016	75.3093	76.7739	76.7739	69.3093
Van Dyke	67.0516	85.0516	73.0516	61.0516	64.9539	82.9539	70.9539	58.9539
<u>CITY, EASTPOINTE</u>								
East Detroit	64.5625	82.5625	70.5625	58.5625	50.7556	68.7556	56.7556	44.7556
South Lake	69.5941	82.5341	75.4257	63.5941	55.2972	68.2372	61.1288	49.2972
<u>CITY, FRASER</u>								
Fraser	46.8593	64.8377	52.8593	40.8593	44.4637	62.4637	50.4637	38.4637
<u>CITY, GROSSE POINTE SHORES</u>								
South Lake	46.5123	59.4523	52.3439	40.5123	46.0183	58.9583	51.8499	40.0183
<u>CITY, MEMPHIS</u>								
Memphis(St. Clair Cty)	39.1832	57.1364	45.1364	33.1832 (2)	39.0192	56.9724	44.9724	33.0192
<u>CITY, MOUNT CLEMENS</u>								
Mount Clemens	54.4075	72.4075	60.4075	48.4075 (5)	46.8612	64.8612	52.8612	40.8612
L'Anse Creuse	48.4075	66.4075	54.4075	42.4075 (5)	42.2612	60.2612	48.2612	36.2612
<u>CITY, NEW BALTIMORE</u>								
Anchor Bay	41.5016	59.5016	47.5016	35.5016 (7)	42.8171	60.8171	48.8171	36.8171
<u>CITY, RICHMOND</u>								
Richmond	38.8139	56.8139	44.8139	32.8139	39.2099	57.2099	45.2099	33.2099

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED TAX (MILLAGE) RATE TOTALS
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	HOMESTEAD TOTAL	NON- HOMESTEAD TOTAL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL TOTAL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL TOTAL	PRIOR YEAR RATES			
					HOMESTEAD TOTAL	NON- HOMESTEAD TOTAL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL TOTAL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL TOTAL
CITY, ROSEVILLE								
Roseville	50.2507	68.2507	56.2507	44.2507	50.8467	68.8467	56.8467	44.8467
Fraser	48.4507	66.4291	54.4507	42.4507	48.4467	66.4467	54.4467	42.4467
CITY, ST. CLAIR SHORES								
Lake Shore	44.5865	62.5865	50.5865	38.5865 (7)	44.3173	62.3173	50.3173	38.3173
Lakeview	45.8515	63.8515	51.8515	39.8515 (7)	45.3323	63.3323	51.3323	39.3323
L'Anse Creuse	44.8615	62.8615	50.8615	38.8615 (7)	44.5923	62.5923	50.5923	38.5923
South Lake	49.8931	62.8331	55.7247	43.8931 (7)	49.1339	62.0739	54.9655	43.1339
CITY, STERLING HEIGHTS								
Utica	35.6371	53.5453	41.5453	29.6371	35.6331	53.5647	41.5647	29.6331
Warren Consolidated	42.4837	53.7071	48.4837	36.4837	42.5434	53.3831	48.5434	36.5434
CITY, UTICA								
Utica	42.9530	60.8612	48.8612	36.9530	43.1226	61.0542	49.0542	37.1226
CITY, WARREN								
Warren Consolidated	55.0616	66.2850	61.0616	49.0616 (7)	55.2232	66.0629	61.2232	49.2232
Warren Woods	51.3850	69.3850	57.3850	45.3850 (7)	51.7829	69.7829	57.7829	45.7829
Van Dyke	56.1650	74.1650	62.1650	50.1650 (7)	53.6929	71.6929	59.6929	47.6929
Fitzgerald	54.8202	72.8202	60.8202	48.8202 (7)	55.0329	73.0329	61.0329	49.0329
Center Line	63.2150	65.1150	65.1150	57.2150 (7)	64.0483	65.5129	65.5129	58.0483
East Detroit	51.3650	69.3650	57.3650	45.3650 (7)	51.4629	69.4629	57.4629	45.4629
VILLAGES								
ARMADA (ARMADA TWP.)								
Armada	42.4601	60.4601	48.4601	36.4601 (5)	42.4561	60.4561	48.4561	36.4561
ROMEO (BRUCE TOWNSHIP)								
Romeo	39.2727	57.2727	45.2727	33.2727 (5) (7)	39.3469	57.3469	45.3469	33.3469
ROMEO (WASHINGTON TWP.)								
Romeo	41.1001	59.1001	47.1001	35.1001 (5) (7)	40.1753	58.1753	46.1753	34.1753
NEW HAVEN (LENOX TWP.)								
New Haven	44.6149	62.6149	50.6149	38.6149	43.2609	61.2609	49.2609	37.2609

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED TAX (MILLAGE) RATES SUMMARY
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	NON- HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL SCHOOL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL	COMM COLLEGE	INTERM SCHOOL	CITY, VILLAGE OR TOWNSHIP	COUNTY OPER.	COUNTY VETERAN	COUNTY DEBT	SMART	ART AUTH	ZOO AUTH	HCMA
ARMADA TOWNSHIP														
Armada	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394	1.5302	2.9430	4.7812	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	4.7812	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5) (7)
BRUCE TOWNSHIP														
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	8.4576	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5) (7)
Armada	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394	1.5302	2.9430	8.4576	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Almont (Lapeer County)	15.4489	32.9863	20.9863	9.4489	1.5302	2.9295	8.4576	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (1) (5)
CHESTERFIELD TOWNSHIP														
New Haven	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700	1.5302	2.9430	9.6867	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
L'Anse Creuse	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	9.6867	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Anchor Bay	16.0000	34.0000	22.0000	10.0000	1.5302	2.9430	9.6867	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
CLINTON TOWNSHIP														
Mt. Clemens	19.0000	37.0000	25.0000	13.0000	1.5302	2.9430	17.6916	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Chippewa Valley	14.6400	32.6400	20.6400	8.6400	1.5302	2.9430	16.2952	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Clintondale	19.0000	35.7643	23.7643	13.0000	1.5302	2.9430	16.2952	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Fraser	13.0000	30.9784	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	16.2952	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
L'Anse Creuse	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	16.2952	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
HARRISON TOWNSHIP														
L'Anse Creuse	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	7.7522	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
LENOX TOWNSHIP														
Anchor Bay	16.0000	34.0000	22.0000	10.0000	1.5302	2.9430	6.2386	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
New Haven	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700	1.5302	2.9430	6.2386	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Richmond	11.5600	29.5600	17.5600	5.5600	1.5302	2.9430	6.2386	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Armada	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394	1.5302	2.9430	6.2386	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
MACOMB TOWNSHIP														
Chippewa Valley	14.6400	32.6400	20.6400	8.6400	1.5302	2.9430	4.9660	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
L'Anse Creuse	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	4.9660	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
New Haven	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700	1.5302	2.9430	4.9660	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Utica	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500	1.5302	2.9430	4.9660	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
RAY TOWNSHIP														
Utica	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500	1.5302	2.9430	3.5529	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
New Haven	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700	1.5302	2.9430	3.5529	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Armada	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394	1.5302	2.9430	3.5529	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	3.5529	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)

SEE ATTACHED FOOTNOTES

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED TAX (MILLAGE) RATES SUMMARY
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

<u>GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District</u>	<u>HOMESTEAD SCHOOL</u>	<u>NON- HOMESTEAD SCHOOL</u>	<u>PERSONAL COMMERCIAL SCHOOL</u>	<u>PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL</u>	<u>COMM COLLEGE</u>	<u>INTERM SCHOOL</u>	<u>CITY, VILLAGE OR TOWNSHIP</u>	<u>COUNTY OPER.</u>	<u>COUNTY VETERAN</u>	<u>COUNTY DEBT</u>	<u>SMART</u>	<u>ART AUTH</u>	<u>ZOO AUTH</u>	<u>HCMA</u>
<u>RICHMOND TOWNSHIP</u>														
Armada	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394	1.5302	2.9430	3.6817	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Richmond	11.5600	29.5600	17.5600	5.5600	1.5302	2.9430	3.6817	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Memphis(St. Clair Cty)	11.8000	29.7532	17.7532	5.8000	1.5302	3.4296	3.6817	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (2)
<u>SHELBY TOWNSHIP</u>														
Utica	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500	1.5302	2.9430	9.2999	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	9.2999	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
Rochester(Oakland Cty)	12.2000	30.2000	18.2000	6.2000	1.5302	3.3633	9.2999	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (3)
<u>WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP</u>														
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	8.5035	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5) (7)
Utica	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500	1.5302	2.9430	8.5035	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
Rochester(Oakland Cty)	12.2000	30.2000	18.2000	6.2000	1.5302	3.3633	8.5035	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (3) (5)
Romeo(Woodland Acres)	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	8.5035	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5) (7)
<u>CITY, CENTER LINE</u>														
Center Line	24.8500	26.7500	26.7500	18.8500	1.5302	2.9430	38.6503	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Van Dyke	17.8000	35.8000	23.8000	11.8000	1.5302	2.9430	38.6503	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, EASTPOINTE</u>														
East Detroit	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	40.9612	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
South Lake	18.0316	30.9716	23.8632	12.0316	1.5302	2.9430	40.9612	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, FRASER</u>														
Fraser	13.0000	30.9784	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	23.2580	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, GROSSE POINTE SHORES</u>														
South Lake	18.0316	30.9716	23.8632	12.0316	1.5302	2.9430	17.8794	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, MEMPHIS</u>														
Memphis(St. Clair Cty)	11.8000	29.7532	17.7532	5.8000	1.5302	3.4296	16.2953	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (2)
<u>CITY, MOUNT CLEMENS</u>														
Mount Clemens	19.0000	37.0000	25.0000	13.0000	1.5302	2.9430	24.8062	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
L'Anse Creuse	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	24.8062	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
<u>CITY, NEW BALTIMORE</u>														
Anchor Bay	16.0000	34.0000	22.0000	10.0000	1.5302	2.9430	14.9003	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
<u>CITY, RICHMOND</u>														
Richmond	11.5600	29.5600	17.5600	5.5600	1.5302	2.9430	16.6526	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146

SEE ATTACHED FOOTNOTES

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED TAX (MILLAGE) RATES SUMMARY
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

<u>GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District</u>	<u>HOMESTEAD SCHOOL</u>	<u>NON- HOMESTEAD SCHOOL</u>	<u>PERSONAL COMMERCIAL SCHOOL</u>	<u>PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL</u>	<u>COMM COLLEGE</u>	<u>INTERM SCHOOL</u>	<u>CITY, VILLAGE OR TOWNSHIP</u>	<u>COUNTY OPER.</u>	<u>COUNTY VETERAN</u>	<u>COUNTY DEBT</u>	<u>SMART</u>	<u>ART AUTH</u>	<u>ZOO AUTH</u>	<u>HCMA</u>
<u>CITY, ROSEVILLE</u>														
Roseville	14.8000	32.8000	20.8000	8.8000	1.5302	2.9430	24.8494	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Fraser	13.0000	30.9784	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	24.8494	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, ST. CLAIR SHORES</u>														
Lake Shore	12.7250	30.7250	18.7250	6.7250	1.5302	2.9430	21.2602	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
Lakeview	13.9900	31.9900	19.9900	7.9900	1.5302	2.9430	21.2602	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
L'Anse Creuse	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	21.2602	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
South Lake	18.0316	30.9716	23.8632	12.0316	1.5302	2.9430	21.2602	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
<u>CITY, STERLING HEIGHTS</u>														
Utica	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500	1.5302	2.9430	15.1858	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
Warren Consolidated	16.6966	27.9200	22.6966	10.6966	1.5302	2.9430	15.1858	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, UTICA</u>														
Utica	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500	1.5302	2.9430	22.5017	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146
<u>CITY, WARREN</u>														
Warren Consolidated	16.6966	27.9200	22.6966	10.6966	1.5302	2.9430	27.7637	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
Warren Woods	13.0200	31.0200	19.0200	7.0200	1.5302	2.9430	27.7637	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
Van Dyke	17.8000	35.8000	23.8000	11.8000	1.5302	2.9430	27.7637	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
Fitzgerald	16.4552	34.4552	22.4552	10.4552	1.5302	2.9430	27.7637	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
Center Line	24.8500	26.7500	26.7500	18.8500	1.5302	2.9430	27.7637	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
East Detroit	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000	1.5302	2.9430	27.7637	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (7)
<u>VILLAGES</u>														
<u>ARMADA (ARMADA TWP.)</u>														
Armada	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394	1.5302	2.9430	18.0194	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5)
<u>ROMEO (BRUCE TOWNSHIP)</u>														
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	17.4276	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5) (7)
<u>ROMEO (WASHINGTON TWP.)</u>														
Romeo	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438	1.5302	2.9430	19.2550	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146 (5) (7)
<u>NEW HAVEN (LENOX TWP.)</u>														
New Haven	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700	1.5302	2.9430	18.8436	4.5685	0.0400	0.0050	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED SCHOOL TAX (MILLAGE) RATES
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	SET	OPERATING NON - HOMESTEAD	OPERATING ALL	OPERATING PERSONAL COMERCIAL	SINKING FUND	DEBT	HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	NON- HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL SCHOOL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL
ARMADA TOWNSHIP										
Armada	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.8394	7.0000	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
BRUCE TOWNSHIP										
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
Armada	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.8394	7.0000	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394
Almont (Lapeer County)	6.0000	17.5374	0.0000	5.5374	0.9989	8.4500	15.4489	32.9863	20.9863	9.4489
CHESTERFIELD TOWNSHIP										
New Haven	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	9.1700	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700
L'Anse Creuse	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
Anchor Bay	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	10.0000	16.0000	34.0000	22.0000	10.0000
CLINTON TOWNSHIP										
Mt. Clemens	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	13.0000	19.0000	37.0000	25.0000	13.0000
Chippewa Valley	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	8.6400	14.6400	32.6400	20.6400	8.6400
Clintondale	6.0000	16.7643	0.0000	4.7643	0.0000	13.0000	19.0000	35.7643	23.7643	13.0000
Fraser	6.0000	17.9784	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	30.9784	19.0000	7.0000
L'Anse Creuse	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
HARRISON TOWNSHIP										
L'Anse Creuse	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
LENOX TOWNSHIP										
Anchor Bay	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	10.0000	16.0000	34.0000	22.0000	10.0000
New Haven	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	9.1700	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700
Richmond	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	5.5600	11.5600	29.5600	17.5600	5.5600
Armada	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.8394	7.0000	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394
MACOMB TOWNSHIP										
Chippewa Valley	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	8.6400	14.6400	32.6400	20.6400	8.6400
L'Anse Creuse	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
New Haven	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	9.1700	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700
Utica	6.0000	17.9082	0.0000	5.9082	0.0000	3.8500	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500
RAY TOWNSHIP										
Utica	6.0000	17.9082	0.0000	5.9082	0.0000	3.8500	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500
New Haven	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	9.1700	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700
Armada	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.8394	7.0000	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438

SEE ATTACHED FOOTNOTES

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED SCHOOL TAX (MILLAGE) RATES
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	SET	OPERATING NON - HOMESTEAD	OPERATING ALL	OPERATING PERSONAL COMERCIAL	SINKING FUND	DEBT	HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	NON- HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL SCHOOL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL
<u>RICHMOND TOWNSHIP</u>										
Armada	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.8394	7.0000	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394
Richmond	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	5.5600	11.5600	29.5600	17.5600	5.5600
Memphis(St. Clair Cty)	6.0000	17.9532	0.0000	5.9532	0.0000	5.8000	11.8000	29.7532	17.7532	5.8000
<u>SHELBY TOWNSHIP</u>										
Utica	6.0000	17.9082	0.0000	5.9082	0.0000	3.8500	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
Rochester(Oakland Cty)	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	6.2000	12.2000	30.2000	18.2000	6.2000
<u>WASHINGTON TOWNSHIP</u>										
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
Utica	6.0000	17.9082	0.0000	5.9082	0.0000	3.8500	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500
Rochester(Oakland Cty)	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	6.2000	12.2000	30.2000	18.2000	6.2000
Romeo(Woodland Acres)	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
<u>CITY, CENTER LINE</u>										
Center Line	6.0000	1.9000	16.1000	1.9000	0.0000	2.7500	24.8500	26.7500	26.7500	18.8500
Van Dyke	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	11.8000	17.8000	35.8000	23.8000	11.8000
<u>CITY, EASTPOINTE</u>										
East Detroit	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
South Lake	6.0000	12.9400	4.8916	5.8316	0.0000	7.1400	18.0316	30.9716	23.8632	12.0316
<u>CITY, FRASER</u>										
Fraser	6.0000	17.9784	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	30.9784	19.0000	7.0000
<u>CITY, GROSSE POINTE SHORES</u>										
South Lake	6.0000	12.9400	4.8916	5.8316	0.0000	7.1400	18.0316	30.9716	23.8632	12.0316
<u>CITY, MEMPHIS</u>										
Memphis(St. Clair Cty)	6.0000	17.9532	0.0000	5.9532	0.0000	5.8000	11.8000	29.7532	17.7532	5.8000
<u>CITY, MOUNT CLEMENS</u>										
Mount Clemens	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	13.0000	19.0000	37.0000	25.0000	13.0000
L'Anse Creuse	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
<u>CITY, NEW BALTIMORE</u>										
Anchor Bay	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	10.0000	16.0000	34.0000	22.0000	10.0000
<u>CITY, RICHMOND</u>										
Richmond	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	5.5600	11.5600	29.5600	17.5600	5.5600


SEE ATTACHED FOOTNOTES

**MACOMB COUNTY 2015
CERTIFIED SCHOOL TAX (MILLAGE) RATES
1 MILL = \$1 PER \$1,000 OF TAXABLE VALUE**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	SET	OPERATING NON - HOMESTEAD	OPERATING ALL	OPERATING PERSONAL COMERCIAL	SINKING FUND	DEBT	HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	NON- HOMESTEAD SCHOOL	PERSONAL COMMERCIAL SCHOOL	PERSONAL INDUSTRIAL SCHOOL
CITY, ROSEVILLE										
Roseville	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	8.8000	14.8000	32.8000	20.8000	8.8000
Fraser	6.0000	17.9784	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	30.9784	19.0000	7.0000
CITY, ST. CLAIR SHORES										
Lake Shore	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	6.7250	12.7250	30.7250	18.7250	6.7250
Lakeview	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.9900	13.9900	31.9900	19.9900	7.9900
L'Anse Creuse	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
South Lake	6.0000	12.9400	4.8916	5.8316	0.0000	7.1400	18.0316	30.9716	23.8632	12.0316
CITY, STERLING HEIGHTS										
Utica	6.0000	17.9082	0.0000	5.9082	0.0000	3.8500	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500
Warren Consolidated	6.0000	11.2234	6.7766	6.0000	0.0000	3.9200	16.6966	27.9200	22.6966	10.6966
CITY, UTICA										
Utica	6.0000	17.9082	0.0000	5.9082	0.0000	3.8500	9.8500	27.7582	15.7582	3.8500
CITY, WARREN										
Warren Consolidated	6.0000	11.2234	6.7766	6.0000	0.0000	3.9200	16.6966	27.9200	22.6966	10.6966
Warren Woods	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0200	13.0200	31.0200	19.0200	7.0200
Van Dyke	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	11.8000	17.8000	35.8000	23.8000	11.8000
Fitzgerald	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	3.1552	7.3000	16.4552	34.4552	22.4552	10.4552
Center Line	6.0000	1.9000	16.1000	1.9000	0.0000	2.7500	24.8500	26.7500	26.7500	18.8500
East Detroit	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	7.0000	13.0000	31.0000	19.0000	7.0000
VILLAGES										
ARMADA (ARMADA TWP.)										
Armada	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.8394	7.0000	13.8394	31.8394	19.8394	7.8394
ROMEO (BRUCE TOWNSHIP)										
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
ROMEO (WASHINGTON TWP.)										
Romeo	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	1.2438	4.0000	11.2438	29.2438	17.2438	5.2438
NEW HAVEN (LENOX TWP.)										
New Haven	6.0000	18.0000	0.0000	6.0000	0.0000	9.1700	15.1700	33.1700	21.1700	9.1700


MACOMB COUNTY 2015 TAXES LEVIED BY TAXING UNIT

TAXING UNIT	TAXES	PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL
COUNTY	\$ 117,530,652	10.43%
SMART	\$ 25,475,375	2.26%
HCMA	\$ 5,467,016	0.49%
MACOMB ZOOLOGICAL AUTHORITY	\$ 2,547,537	0.23%
MACOMB COUNTY ART INSTITUTE AUTH	\$ 5,095,079	0.45%
TOWNSHIPS	\$ 121,438,271	10.77%
VILLAGES	\$ 3,474,792	0.31%
STATE EDUCATION TAX	\$ 152,852,259	13.57%
LOCAL SCHOOLS	\$ 315,840,353	28.04%
INTERMEDIATE SCHOOLS	\$ 75,024,837	6.66%
COMMUNITY COLLEGE	\$ 38,982,421	3.46%
CITIES	\$ 262,857,617	23.33%
TOTAL	\$ 1,126,586,209	100.00%



MACOMB COUNTY 2015 TAXABLE VALUE BY PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION

PROPERTY CLASSIFICATION	TAXABLE VALUE	PERCENTAGE OF REVENUE SOURCE
AGRICULTURAL	\$ 123,843,821	0.49%
COMMERCIAL	\$ 3,402,311,063	13.36%
INDUSTRIAL	\$ 1,513,369,146	5.94%
RESIDENTIAL	\$ 17,853,346,135	70.07%
DEVELOPMENTAL	\$ 15,674,180	0.06%
PERSONAL - COMMERCIAL	\$ 635,851,284	2.50%
PERSONAL - INDUSTRIAL	\$ 1,241,697,674	4.87%
PERSONAL - UTILITY	\$ 689,283,395	2.71%
TOTAL	\$ 25,475,376,698	100.00%



**MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 TAX LEVY - COUNTY WIDE TAXES**

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT	TAXABLE VALUATION	ALLOCATED 4.5685	EXTRA VOTED - VETERANS 0.0400	DEBT 0.0050	TOTAL LEVIED BY COUNTY 4.6135	MACOMB COUNTY ART INSTIT AUTH 0.2000	MACOMB ZOOLOGICAL AUTHORITY 0.1000	HCMA 0.2146	SMART 1.0000	TOTAL 6.1281
TOWNSHIPS:										
ARMADA	\$ 305,701,162	\$ 1,396,596	\$ 12,228	\$ 1,529	\$ 1,410,353	\$ 61,140	\$ 30,570	\$ 65,603	\$ 305,701	\$ 1,873,367
BRUCE	451,605,024	2,063,158	18,064	2,258	2,083,480	90,321	45,161	96,914	451,605	2,767,481
CHESTERFIELD	1,487,758,705	6,796,826	59,510	7,439	6,863,775	297,552	148,776	319,273	1,487,759	9,117,135
CLINTON	2,662,924,223	12,165,569	106,517	13,315	12,285,401	532,585	266,292	571,464	2,662,924	16,318,666
HARRISON	860,914,285	3,933,087	34,437	4,305	3,971,829	172,183	86,091	184,752	860,914	5,275,769
LENOX	255,894,772	1,169,055	10,236	1,279	1,180,570	51,179	25,589	54,915	255,895	1,568,148
MACOMB	3,076,526,378	14,055,111	123,061	15,383	14,193,555	615,305	307,653	660,223	3,076,526	18,853,262
RAY	187,357,853	855,944	7,494	937	864,375	37,472	18,736	40,207	187,358	1,148,148
RICHMOND	169,205,709	773,016	6,768	846	780,630	33,841	16,921	36,312	169,206	1,036,910
SHELBY	2,970,357,770	13,570,079	118,814	14,852	13,703,745	594,072	297,036	637,439	2,970,358	18,202,650
WASHINGTON	1,200,273,415	5,483,449	48,011	6,001	5,537,461	240,055	120,027	257,579	1,200,273	7,355,395
CITIES:										
CENTER LINE	156,447,848	714,732	6,258	782	721,772	31,290	15,645	33,574	156,448	958,729
EASTPOINTE	430,614,324	1,967,262	17,225	2,153	1,986,640	86,123	43,061	92,410	430,614	2,638,848
FRASER	446,428,953	2,039,511	17,857	2,232	2,059,600	89,286	44,643	95,804	446,429	2,735,762
GROSSE POINTE SHORES	10,509,303	48,012	420	53	48,485	2,102	1,051	2,255	10,509	64,402
MEMPHIS	16,474,049	75,262	659	82	76,003	3,295	1,647	3,535	16,474	100,954
MOUNT CLEMENS	326,524,083	1,491,725	13,061	1,633	1,506,419	65,305	32,652	70,072	326,524	2,000,972
NEW BALTIMORE	353,888,227	1,616,738	14,156	1,769	1,632,663	70,778	35,389	75,944	353,888	2,168,662
RICHMOND	159,333,355	727,914	6,373	797	735,084	31,867	15,933	34,193	159,333	976,410
ROSEVILLE	860,197,815	3,929,814	34,408	4,301	3,968,523	172,040	86,020	184,598	860,198	5,271,379
ST. CLAIR SHORES	1,385,923,000	6,331,589	55,437	6,930	6,393,956	277,185	138,592	297,419	1,385,923	8,493,075
STERLING HEIGHTS	4,091,917,138	18,693,923	163,677	20,460	18,878,060	818,383	409,192	878,125	4,091,917	25,075,677
UTICA	174,853,956	798,820	6,994	874	806,688	34,971	17,485	37,524	174,854	1,071,522
WARREN	3,433,745,351	15,687,066	137,350	17,169	15,841,585	686,749	343,375	736,882	3,433,745	21,042,336
COUNTY TOTAL:	\$ 25,475,376,698	\$ 116,384,258	\$ 1,019,015	\$ 127,379	\$ 117,530,652	\$ 5,095,079	\$ 2,547,537	\$ 5,467,016	\$ 25,475,375	\$ 156,115,659
Estimated Capture by TIFA, DDA and CIA-----	\$ 339,745,450	(1,552,126)	(13,590)	(1,699)	(1,567,415)	(67,949)	(33,975)	(72,910)	(339,746)	(2,081,995) ⁽⁶⁾
NET TAX COLLECTION:		\$ 114,832,132	\$ 1,005,425	\$ 125,680	\$ 115,963,237	\$ 5,027,130	\$ 2,513,562	\$ 5,394,106	\$ 25,135,629	\$ 154,033,664

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES TAXES LEVIED

IFT TAX RATE PER \$1000 SEV

<u>GOVERNMENTAL UNIT / Local School District</u>	<u># OF CERTIFICATES</u>	<u>TAXABLE VALUE</u>	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	<u>CITY OR TOWNSHIP</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>SMART</u>	<u>ART AUTH</u>	<u>ZOO AUTH</u>	<u>HCMA</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>IFT TAXES LEVIED</u>
Armada Township											
Armada Schools - Post 1993	3	\$ 240,187	21.1563	2.3906	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	26.6110	\$ 6,392
Romeo Schools - Post 1993	4	1,361,576	19.8585	2.3906	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	25.3132	34,466
Bruce Township											
Romeo Schools - Post 1993	65	33,173,614	19.8585	4.2288	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	27.1514	900,708
Chesterfield Township											
New Haven Schools-Post 1993	10	4,819,123	21.8216	4.8434	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	29.7290	143,268
L'Anse Creuse Schools - Post 1993	39	12,061,178	20.7366	4.8434	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	28.6440	345,480
Anchor Bay Schools - Post 1993	1	1,376,917	22.2366	4.8434	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	30.1440	41,506
Clinton Township											
Chippewa Valley Schls - Post 1993	5	1,190,493	21.5566	8.1476	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	32.7683	39,010
Fraser Schools - Post 1993	4	4,389,355	20.7258	8.1476	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	31.9375	140,185
L'Anse Creuse Schools - Post 1993	61	25,916,701	20.7366	8.1476	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	31.9483	827,993
Clintondale Schools - Post 1993	4	585,600	23.1188	8.1476	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	34.3304	20,104
Harrison											
L'Anse Creuse Schools - Post 1993	6	3,364,370	20.7366	3.8761	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	27.6768	93,115
Lenox											
New Haven Schools-Post 1993	1	54,200	21.8216	3.1193	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	28.0050	1,518
Macomb Township											
Chippewa Valley Schls - Post 1993	9	16,031,150	21.5566	2.4830	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	27.1037	434,503
Shelby Township											
Utica Schools - Post 1993	20	19,605,330	19.1157	4.6500	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	26.8297	526,005
Washington Township											
Romeo Schools - Post 1993	3	328,190	19.8585	4.2518	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	27.1743	8,918
Center Line, City of											
Center Line Schools - Post 1993	4	3,132,448	18.6116	19.3252	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	41.0008	128,433

SEE ATTACHED FOOTNOTES

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES TAXES LEVIED

IFT TAX RATE PER \$1000 SEV

<u>GOVERNMENTAL UNIT / Local School District</u>	<u># OF CERTIFICATES</u>	<u>TAXABLE VALUE</u>	<u>SCHOOLS</u>	<u>CITY OR TOWNSHIP</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>SMART</u>	<u>ART AUTH</u>	<u>ZOO AUTH</u>	<u>HCMA</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>	<u>IFT TAXES LEVIED</u>
Fraser, City of											
Fraser Schools(Rehab) - Post 1993	2	2,829,997	35.4516	23.2580	4.6135	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146	64.8377	183,490
Fraser Schools - Post 1993	51	31,293,485	20.7258	11.6290	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	35.4189	1,108,379
Memphis, City of											
Memphis - Post 1993	1	79,500	20.3565	8.1477	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	31.5682	2,510
Mount Clemens, City of											
Mount Clemens Schools - Post 1993	5	2,970,162	23.7366	12.4031	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	39.2038	116,441
New Baltimore, City of											
Anchor Bay Schools - Post 1993	2	3,087,310	22.2366	7.4502	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	32.7508	101,112
Roseville, City of											
Roseville Schools - Post 1993	29	7,675,653	21.6366	12.4247	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	37.1254	284,961
Fraser Schools - Post 1993	3	166,405	20.7258	12.4247	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	36.2146	6,026
Saint Clair Shores, City of											
Lake Shore	1	4,435,300	20.5991	10.6301	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	34.2933	152,101
South Lake	1	135,900	20.7224	10.6301	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	34.4166	4,677
Sterling Heights, City of											
Warren Consolid Schls - Post 1993	45	82,078,009	19.1966	7.5929	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	29.8536	2,450,320
Utica Schools - Post 1993	54	158,564,373	19.1157	7.5929	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	29.7727	4,720,882
Utica, City of											
Utica Schools - Post 1993	2	526,173	19.1157	11.2509	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	33.4306	17,590
Warren, City of											
Center Line Schools - Post 1993	19	30,853,676	18.6116	13.8819	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	35.5575	1,097,080
East Detroit Schools - Post 1993	1	131,239	20.7366	13.8819	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	37.6825	4,945
Van Dyke Schools - Post 1993	24	9,410,725	23.1366	13.8819	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	40.0825	377,205
Fitzgerald Schools - Post 1993	23	29,856,296	22.4642	13.8819	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	39.4101	1,176,640
Warren Consolidated - Post 1993	20	69,887,314	19.1966	13.8819	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	36.1425	2,525,902
Warren Woods Schools - Post 1993	16	18,935,822	20.7466	13.8819	2.3068	0.5000	0.1000	0.0500	0.1073	37.6925	713,738
Warren Consolidated (REHAB)- Post 1993	3	23,098,170	32.3932	27.7637	4.6135	1.0000	0.2000	0.1000	0.2146	66.2850	1,531,062
TOTAL		<u>\$ 603,645,941</u>									<u>\$20,266,665</u>

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 TIFA, DDA, AND CIA TAXES CAPTURED

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	BASE YEAR	EXPIRATION DATE	INITIAL VALUE	CAPTURED VALUE	TAXES CAPTURED								
					SCHOOLS	CITY / TOWNSHIP	COUNTY	SMART	ART AUTHORITY	ZOO AUTHORITY	HCMA	TOTAL	
Armada Township Armada Schools	DDA	2003	2033	\$ 5,420,120	\$ 0	\$	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	0	0	0	\$ 0
Bruce Township Romeo Schools	DDA	1986	N/A	1,506,230	1,588,899		13,438	7,330	1,589	318	159	341	23,175
Clinton Township Clintondale	DDA	2002	2017	50,362,967	1,900,439		30,968	8,768	1,900	380	190	408	42,614
Mt Clemens	DDA	2002	2017	14,928,956	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrison Township L'Anse Creuse	DDA	2014	N/A	24,652,783	1,062,331		8,235	4,901	1,062	212	106	228	14,744
Shelby Township Utica Schools	TIFA	2005	N/A	22,580	320		3	1	0	0	0	0	4
Utica Schools	DDA	2006	2031	88,303,057	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Washington Township Romeo Schools	DDA	1990	N/A	2,440,162	5,210,060		44,304	24,037	5,210	1,042	521	1,118	76,232
Center Line, City of Center Line Schools	DDA	1994	2023	18,779,740	2,043,991		79,001	9,430	2,044	409	204	439	91,527
Eastpointe, City of East Detroit	DDA	1987	N/A	3,831,270	3,645,267		149,315	16,817	3,645	729	365	782	171,653
Mount Clemens, City of Mount Clemens Schools	TIFA	1985	2021	9,159,600	8,018,405		198,906	36,993	8,018	1,604	802	1,721	248,044
New Baltimore, City of Anchor Bay Schools	DDA	1989	2010	2,453,825	1,685,553		25,115	7,776	1,686	337	169	362	35,445
Anchor Bay Schools	TIFA	1999	N/A	9,288,000	6,467,237		96,364	29,837	6,467	1,293	647	1,388	135,996
Richmond, City of Richmond	TIFA	1984	2025	9,062,907	27,175,760		452,547	125,375	27,176	5,435	2,718	5,832	619,083
Roseville, City of Roseville	TIFA	2015	2034	7,904,805	1,604,566		39,873	7,403	1,605	321	160	344	49,706

SEE ATTACHED FOOTNOTES

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 TIFA, DDA, AND CIA TAXES CAPTURED

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	BASE YEAR	EXPIRATION DATE	INITIAL VALUE	CAPTURED VALUE	TAXES CAPTURED							TOTAL	
					SCHOOLS	CITY / TOWNSHIP	COUNTY	SMART	ART AUTHORITY	ZOO AUTHORITY	HCMA		
St. Clair Shores, City of													
Lakeview	TIFA	1987	N/A	6,950,412	6,799,563		144,560	31,370	6,800	1,360	680	1,459	186,229
South Lake	TIFA	1987	N/A	14,757,016	10,734,984		228,228	49,526	10,735	2,147	1,073	2,304	294,013
Lake Shore	CIA	2007	N/A	44,885,100	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lakeview	CIA	2007	N/A	29,810,800	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
South Lake	CIA	2007	N/A	24,877,600	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sterling Heights, City of													
Utica	TIFA	2002	2032	391,400	2,648,915		40,226	12,221	2,649	530	265	568	56,459
Utica	TIFA	2006	2026	20,174,507	950,357		14,432	4,384	950	190	95	204	20,255
Utica	TIFA	2012	2045	19,827,400	10,111,500		153,551	46,649	10,112	2,022	1,011	2,170	215,515
Warren Consolidated	LDFA	2008	2021	3,921,700	11,601,565		176,179	53,524	11,602	2,320	1,160	2,490	247,275
Utica, City of													
Utica	TIFA	1991	2021	13,119,625	11,737,853		264,122	54,153	11,738	2,348	1,174	2,519	336,054
Warren, City of													
Center Line Schools	DDA	2002	2042	9,702,751	29,921,195		830,723	138,041	29,921	5,984	2,992	6,421	1,014,082
Van Dyke Schools	TIFA	1986	2011	12,155,613	6,588,016		182,908	30,394	6,588	1,318	659	1,414	223,281
Warren Consolidated Schools	DDA	2002	2042	408,394,246	188,248,674		5,226,480	868,485	188,249	37,650	18,825	40,398	6,380,087
TOTAL				\$ 857,085,172	\$ 339,745,450	\$ (4)	\$ 8,399,478	\$ 1,567,415	\$ 339,746	\$ 67,949	\$ 33,975	\$ 72,910	\$ 10,481,473

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 RENAISSANCE RECOVERY ZONE TAXES LEVIED

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	EXPIRATION IFT ? DATE	TAXABLE VALUE	RENAISSANCE ZONE RATES					RENAISSANCE ZONE TAXES LEVIED					
			SCHOOLS DEBT	CITY OR TOWNSHIP DEBT	SPECIALS	COUNTY DEBT	TOTAL	SCHOOLS DEBT	CITY OR TOWNSHIP DEBT	SPECIALS	COUNTY DEBT	TOTAL	
Bruce Township													
Romeo Schools	YES	2015	\$ 584,114	2.0545	0.0000	2.7650	0.0025	4.8220	1,200	-	1,615	1	2,816
Romeo Schools	YES	2016	957,010	2.0545	0.0000	2.7650	0.0025	4.8220	1,966	-	2,646	2	4,614
Romeo Schools		2016	2,427,387	4.1090	0.0000	5.5300	0.0050	9.6440	\$ 9,974	\$ -	\$ 13,423	\$ 12	\$ 23,409
Romeo Schools	YES	2017	553,110	2.0545	0.0000	2.7650	0.0025	4.8220	1,136	-	1,529	1	2,666
Romeo Schools		2017	804,431	4.1090	0.0000	5.5300	0.0050	9.6440	\$ 3,305	\$ -	\$ 4,449	\$ 4	\$ 7,758
Romeo Schools		2022	21,153	4.1090	0.0000	5.5300	0.0050	9.6440	87	-	117	-	204
Chesterfield Township													
L'Anse Creuse		2020	1,711,815	7.1090	0.0000	5.0000	0.0050	12.1140	12,169	-	8,559	9	20,737
New Haven		2020	10,718,422	9.2790	0.0000	5.0000	0.0050	14.2840	99,456	-	53,592	54	153,102
Clinton Township													
L'Anse Creuse	YES	2018	127,600	3.5545	0.2300	4.5000	0.0025	8.2870	454	29	574	-	1,057
L'Anse Creuse		2018	528,176	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	3,755	243	4,754	3	8,755
L'Anse Creuse	YES	2019	278,208	3.5545	0.2300	4.5000	0.0025	8.2870	989	64	1,252	1	2,306
L'Anse Creuse		2019	389,505	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	2,769	179	3,506	2	6,456
L'Anse Creuse		2020	4,974,403	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	35,363	2,288	44,770	25	82,446
L'Anse Creuse	YES	2022	364,100	3.5545	0.2300	4.5000	0.0025	8.2870	1,294	84	1,638	1	3,017
L'Anse Creuse		2022	1,419,307	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	10,090	653	12,774	7	23,524
L'Anse Creuse		2023	183,018	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	1,301	84	1,647	1	3,033
L'Anse Creuse		2024	1,881,974	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	13,379	866	16,938	9	31,192
Fraser		2020	464,624	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	3,303	214	4,182	2	7,701
Fraser		2021	492,850	7.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	16.5740	3,504	227	4,436	2	8,169
Chippewa Valley		2022	478,279	8.7490	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	18.2140	4,184	220	4,305	2	8,711
Clintondale		2022	238,476	13.1090	0.4600	9.0000	0.0050	22.5740	3,126	110	2,146	1	5,383
Lenox Township													
New Haven		2020	310,500	9.2790	0.0000	4.1450	0.0050	13.4290	2,881	-	1,287	2	4,170
Macomb Township													
Chippewa Valley	YES	2020	2,026,830	4.3745	0.0000	0.5294	0.0025	4.9064	8,866	-	1,073	5	9,944
Chippewa Valley		2020	855,650	8.7490	0.0000	1.0588	0.0050	9.8128	7,486	-	906	4	8,396
Chippewa Valley	YES	2019	96,120	4.3745	0.0000	0.5294	0.0025	4.9064	420	-	51	-	471
Chippewa Valley		2019	11,419,650	8.7490	0.0000	1.0588	0.0050	9.8128	99,911	-	12,091	57	112,059
L'Anse Creuse		2019	1,438,250	7.1090	0.0000	1.0588	0.0050	8.1728	10,225	-	1,523	7	11,755
Chippewa Valley	YES	2017	1,139,090	4.3745	0.0000	0.5294	0.0025	4.9064	4,983	-	603	3	5,589
Chippewa Valley		2017	503,240	8.7490	0.0000	1.0588	0.0050	9.8128	4,403	-	533	3	4,939

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 RENAISSANCE RECOVERY ZONE TAXES LEVIED

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	EXPIRATION		TAXABLE VALUE	SCHOOLS DEBT	RENAISSANCE ZONE RATES			RENAISSANCE ZONE TAXES LEVIED					
	IFT ?	DATE			CITY OR TOWNSHIP DEBT	SPECIALS	COUNTY DEBT	TOTAL	SCHOOLS DEBT	CITY OR TOWNSHIP DEBT	SPECIALS	COUNTY DEBT	TOTAL
Shelby Township													
Utica Schools		2017	1,362,140	3.9590	0.0000		0.0050	3.9640	5,393	-	-	7	5,400
Utica Schools		2019	6,120,090	3.9590	0.0000		0.0050	3.9640	24,229	-	-	31	24,260
Utica Schools		2015	1,177,120	3.9590	0.0000		0.0050	3.9640	4,660	-	-	6	4,666
Utica Schools		2020	311,980	3.9590	0.0000		0.0050	3.9640	1,235	-	-	2	1,237
Washington Township													
Romeo Schools		2020	710,550	4.1090	0.0000	3.7485	0.0050	7.8625	2,920	-	2,663	4	5,587
Romeo Schools	YES	2020	118,700	2.0545	0.0000	1.8743	0.0025	3.9313	244	-	222	-	466
Romeo Schools	YES	2015	81,020	2.0545	0.0000	1.8743	0.0025	3.9313	166	-	152	-	318
Romeo Schools		2015	579,680	4.1090	0.0000	3.7485	0.0050	7.8625	2,382	-	2,173	3	4,558
Romeo Schools		2023	373,700	4.1090	0.0000	3.7485	0.0050	7.8625	1,536	-	1,401	2	2,939
Romeo Schools		2018	536,860	4.1090	0.0000	3.7485	0.0050	7.8625	2,206	-	2,012	3	4,221
Romeo Schools	YES	2016	77,850	2.0545	0.0000	1.8743	0.0025	3.9313	160	-	146	-	306
Romeo Schools	YES	2026	245,240	2.0545	0.0000	1.8743	0.0025	3.9313	504	-	460	1	965
Fraser, City of													
Fraser		2015	2,513,654	7.1090	3.0300		0.0050	10.1440	17,870	7,616	-	13	25,499
Fraser	YES	2015	567,021	3.5545	1.5150		0.0025	5.0720	2,015	859	-	1	2,875
Mount Clemens, City of													
Mount Clemens Schools		2020	815,736	13.1090	0.0000		0.0050	13.1140	10,693	-	-	4	10,697
New Baltimore, City of													
Anchor Bay Schools		2015	375,058	10.1090	3.7695		0.0050	13.8835	3,791	1,414	-	2	5,207
Anchor Bay Schools		2017	580,122	10.1090	3.7695		0.0050	13.8835	5,864	2,187	-	3	8,054
Anchor Bay Schools		2019	748,600	10.1090	3.7695		0.0050	13.8835	7,568	2,822	-	4	10,394
Roseville, City of													
Roseville		2015	891,923	8.9090	1.2555		0.0050	10.1695	7,946	1,120	-	4	9,070
Roseville		2016	1,567,544	8.9090	1.2555		0.0050	10.1695	13,965	1,968	-	8	15,941
Roseville		2017	1,852,279	8.9090	1.2555		0.0050	10.1695	16,502	2,326	-	9	18,837
Sterling Heights, City of													
Warren Consolidated		2018	1,367,846	4.0290	0.1499		0.0050	4.1839	5,511	205	-	7	5,723

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 RENAISSANCE RECOVERY ZONE TAXES LEVIED

GOVERNMENTAL UNIT/ Local School District	EXPIRATION		TAXABLE VALUE	RENAISSANCE ZONE RATES				RENAISSANCE ZONE TAXES LEVIED					
	IFT ?	DATE		SCHOOLS DEBT	CITY OR TOWNSHIP DEBT	SPECIALS	COUNTY DEBT	TOTAL	SCHOOLS DEBT	CITY OR TOWNSHIP DEBT	SPECIALS	COUNTY DEBT	TOTAL
Warren, City of													
Warren Consolidated		2017	1,033,863	4.0290	0.0000		0.0050	4.0340	4,165	-	-	5	4,170
Warren Woods		2020	13,939,833	7.1290	0.0000		0.0050	7.1340	99,377	-	-	70	99,447
Van Dyke		2017	1,516,669	11.9090	0.0000		0.0050	11.9140	18,062	-	-	8	18,070
Fitzgerald		2017	5,587,125	7.4090	0.0000		0.0050	7.4140	41,395	-	-	28	41,423
TOTAL			\$ 92,439,495						\$ 652,338	\$ 25,778	\$ 216,148	\$ 445	\$ 894,709

MACOMB COUNTY
ESTIMATED 2015 NEIGHBORHOOD ENTERPRIZE ZONE TAXES LEVIED

NEZ TAX RATE (New Certificates) = 16.9600

NEIGHBORHOOD ENTERPRIZE ZONE TAXES

<u>GOVERNMENTAL UNIT / LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT</u>	<u>NEW / REHAB</u>	<u>BASE YEAR</u>	<u>EXPIRATION DATE</u>	<u># OF CERTIFICATES</u>	<u>TAXABLE VALUE</u>	<u>LOCAL SCHOOLS</u>	<u>COMM COLLEGE</u>	<u>INTERM SCHOOLS</u>	<u>CITY OR TOWNSHIPS</u>	<u>COUNTY</u>	<u>SMART</u>	<u>ART AUTH</u>	<u>ZOO AUTH</u>	<u>HCMA</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
Mount Clemens, City of															
Mount Clemens Schools	REHAB	2008	2022	37	\$ 300,965	\$ 11,136	461	886	7,466	1,389	301	60	30	65	21,794
Mount Clemens Schools	NEW	2009	2020	1	47,794	414	17	33	278	52	11	2	1	2	810
Mount Clemens Schools	NEW	2007	2018	10	<u>369,091</u>	<u>3,199</u>	<u>132</u>	<u>254</u>	<u>2,145</u>	<u>399</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>6,260</u>
TOTAL					<u>\$ 717,850</u>	<u>\$ 14,749</u>	<u>\$ 610</u>	<u>\$ 1,173</u>	<u>\$ 9,889</u>	<u>\$ 1,840</u>	<u>\$ 398</u>	<u>\$ 79</u>	<u>\$ 40</u>	<u>\$ 86</u>	<u>\$ 28,864</u>

MACOMB COUNTY
FOOTNOTES TO 2015
APPORTIONMENT REPORT

- (1) Almont School District is serviced by Lapeer Intermediate School District.
- (2) Memphis School District is serviced by St. Clair Intermediate School District.
- (3) Rochester School District is serviced by Oakland Intermediate School District.
- (4) School Taxes can only be captured to make required payments on "eligible obligations", "eligible advances", or "other protected obligations". Thus an estimate of captured school taxes is not practical.
- (5) Library millage is included in the "CITY, VILLAGE OR TOWNSHIP" column.
- (6) The estimated taxes to be collected are based on gross collections. See the schedule on pages 14 & 15 for an estimate of how much of this gross will be captured by TIFA's, DDA's and CIA's.
- (7) The following taxing jurisdictions levied taxes in excess of their allowable maximums. The excess collection will be returned to the appropriate taxpayers in the form of a credit against the 2016 tax levy:

AS REPORTED ON
L - 4029

FOR TAXING UNIT:	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE	SUMMER LEVY	WINTER LEVY	TOTAL LEVY	OVER LEVY	TAXABLE VALUE	ESTIMATED \$ OVER LEVY
ROSEVILLE PUBLIC SCHOOLS	23.2438	23.2439		23.2439	-0.0001	\$ 785,833,737	\$ 78.58
CITY OF NEW BALTIMORE	14.9003	14.9440		14.9440	-0.0437	\$ 353,888,227	\$ 15,464.92
CITY OF ST. CLAIR SHORES	21.2602	21.2633		21.2633	-0.0031	\$ 1,385,923,000	\$ 4,296.36
CITY OF WARREN	27.7637	27.7676		27.7676	-0.0039	\$ 423,527,706	\$ 1,651.76



CITY OF NEW BALTIMORE

36535 GREEN STREET • NEW BALTIMORE, MI 48047 • (586) 725-2151 • FAX (586) 725-6927

September 11, 2015

Mr. Stephen L. Smigiel
Macomb County Finance Director
120 North Main St.
2nd Floor
Mt. Clemens, MI 48043

RE: City of New Baltimore's failure to apply its
2015 Millage reduction fraction (.9961)

Dear Mr. Smigiel,

Pursuant to MCL 211.34d(13), the City of New Baltimore has resolved to correct the above stated situation by crediting each tax bill a total of .0437 mills during its 2016 Summer Tax levy. This is for city operating of .0384 mills ($9.8299 \text{ mills} - 9.8299 \text{ mills} \times .9961 \text{ mills}$) and city library of .0053 mills ($1.3446 \text{ mills} - 1.3446 \text{ mills} \times .9961 \text{ mills}$).

Please call or write if you have any questions or comments.

Very Truly Yours,

Jeanne Bade
City of New Baltimore Treasurer



CITY CONTROLLER'S OFFICE

ONE CITY SQUARE, SUITE 425
 WARREN, MI 48093-5289
 (586) 574-4600
 FAX (586) 574-4614
www.cityofwarren.org

September 30, 2015

Mr. Stephen L. Smigiel
 Macomb County Finance Director
 120 North Main Street, 2nd Floor
 Mt. Clemens, MI 48043

RE: City of Warren's Miscalculation of the 2015 Millage Reduction Fraction (MRF)

The following is a list of millage rates that were levied incorrectly in 2015 as a result of the MRF calculation:

<u>Millage Description</u>	<u>Incorrect Millage Rate (0.9952 MRF)</u>	<u>Correct Millage Rate (0.9950 MRF)</u>	<u>Over Levy</u>
Operating	8.7302	8.7285	0.0017
EMS	0.2908	0.2908	0.0000
Library Operating	0.4849	0.4848	0.0001
Library Operating	0.8459	0.8457	0.0002
Police Operating	0.9699	0.9697	0.0002
Fire Operating	0.9699	0.9697	0.0002
Recreation Operating	0.9699	0.9697	0.0002
Road Repair	2.0899	2.0895	0.0004
Police & Fire Operating	4.8764	4.8755	0.0009

Pursuant to MCL 211.34d(13), the City of Warren has elected to correct the above mentioned over levy of mills on the 2016 Summer Tax Bill. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact me at 574-4589.

Respectfully,


 Renee Rezak
 Budget Director

Mr. Eric Whitney
 Superintendent
 Ms. Jennifer Macfarlane
 Assistant Superintendent for Curriculum
 and Instruction
 Mr. Don Gratton, Executive Director of
 Facilities and Quality Control
 Mr. David Massoglia, Executive
 Director of Business
 Mr. Mark Nelson, Executive Director
 of Technology

BOARD OF EDUCATION
 Mr. Chris Giancarli, President
 Dr. Gus Demas, Vice President
 Mr. Michael Antoine, Secretary
 Mrs. Anita Banach, Treasurer
 Mr. Wayne Conner II, Trustee
 Mr. Ed Sosnoski, Trustee
 Mr. Chris Young, Trustee

Romeo Community Schools

316 N. MAIN STREET • ROMEO, MICHIGAN 48065

PHONE (586) 752-0200 • FAX (586) 752-0228

September 15, 2015

Mr. Stephen L. Smigiel
 Macomb County Finance Director
 120 North Main St.
 2nd Floor
 Mt Clemons, MI 48043


Re: Romeo Community Schools failure to truncate millage resulting in a 2015 overlevy of .0001 of the Sinking Fund

Dear Mr. Smigiel,

Romeo Community Schools has resolved to correct the over levy of the sinking fund in 2015 of .0001 mills by crediting each tax bill for the sinking fund a total of .0001 mills during its 2016 sinking fund tax levy.

Please advise if you have any questions or comments at 586-281-1402 or david.massoglia@romeo.k12.mi.us.

Sincerely,



David Massoglia
 Executive Director of Business Services

City of St. Clair Shores

27600 Jefferson Circle Drive St. Clair Shores, MI 48081-2093

Phone: (586) 447-3322 Fax: 586-445-5245 www.scsmi.net

Mayor
Kip C. Walby
Mayor Pro-Tem
Anthony G. Tiseo
City Manager
Michael E. Smith



Council Members:
John D. Caron
Ronald J. Frederick
Peter A. Rubino
Candice B. Rusie
Chris M. Vitale

September 21, 2015

Stephen L. Smigiel, C.P.A. - Finance Director
Macomb County Finance Department
120 North Main St.
2nd Floor
Mt. Clemens, Michigan 48043

RE: City of St. Clair Shores
Failure to apply Millage Reduction Factor (MRF) of .9977 to 2015 Street Millage Levy

Dear Mr. Smigiel:

Pursuant to MCL 211.34, paragraph .110, the City of St. Clair Shores will resolve the above over-levy of .0029 mills on its 2016 Summer Tax Bill.

In addition the millage rates for Sanitation and Library should have been rounded down by .0001 to 2.3563 and .9222 respectively. This over-levy will also be resolved on the 2016 Summer Tax Bill.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or need additional information.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Douglas M. Haag".

Douglas M. Haag
Director of Finance/Treasurer
City of St. Clair Shores
586-447-3325
haagd@scsmi.net

Attachments – L-4029 and MC223C

cc Michael E. Smith, City Manager
Laura Stowell, Controller/Deputy Treasurer
Teri Socia, Assessor
Mary Kotowski, City Clerk

**BOARD OF COMMISSIONER
MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN**

RESOLUTION NO. 15 - ____

Commissioner _____, supported by Commissioner _____, moved adoption of the following resolution:

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING CREATION OF ONE ADDITIONAL
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGESHIP IN 2017 AND A SECOND ADDITIONAL
CIRCUIT COURT JUDGESHIP IN 2019**

WHEREAS, since 2006, the 16th Judicial Circuit Court has had a total of 13 Circuit Court judgeships, one of which has remained temporarily unfilled since 2009 in order to achieve a cost savings for the citizens of Macomb County; and

WHEREAS, the State Court Administrator's Office has determined that the Courts funded directly by Macomb County (16th Judicial Circuit Court, Macomb County Probate Court, and 42nd District Court) are the most "under-judged" courts in the State of Michigan; and

WHEREAS, in 2013, the State Court Administrative Office concluded that the combined judicial need for Macomb County-funded Courts is 4.71 judgeships (in addition to the 13 existing 16th Judicial Circuit Court judgeships, two Probate Court judgeships, and two 42nd District Court judgeships), and recommended the creation of four new judgeships; and

WHEREAS, notwithstanding the State Court Administrator's Office's recommendations, the Macomb County Circuit Court Judges have requested only two additional judgeships; and

WHEREAS, in 2014 the State Legislature enacted 2014 PA 56, amending section 517 of the Revised Judicature Act of 1961, 1961 PA 236, to allow for the creation of two additional judgeships: one new circuit court judgeship in 2017, and a second new circuit court judgeship in 2019; and

WHEREAS, section 550 of the Revised Judicature Act of 1961 requires that the new judgeships for the 16th Judicial Circuit Court be approved by the Macomb County Board of Commissioners before they are authorized to be filled by election; and

WHEREAS, Macomb County continues to grow in population, and the need for more Circuit Court Judges is readily apparent when our the 13 Judges serving the 16th Judicial Circuit Court is compared to the number of judges in neighboring counties: 56 judges at the 3rd Judicial Circuit Court (Wayne County) and the 19 judges at the 6th Judicial Circuit Court (Oakland County); and

WHEREAS, in 2015, the State Court Administrative Office analysis has further determined an additional judicial need of 1.25 judgeships to the seats already authorized by Public Act 56 of 2014; and

WHEREAS, it is estimated that the State will pay \$158,792 per year for each new judgeship (the costs of the Judge's salary), while the County will pay \$191,148 per year, per judge, for fringe benefits, a judicial secretary, and estimated operating costs; and

WHEREAS, it is estimated that the costs of an additional assistant prosecuting attorney, court clerk, and sheriff's deputy could total \$329,558 per year, per judge, if these departments determine that the staffing requirements for each new judgeship cannot be met by reassigning existing department employees; and

WHEREAS, increasing the number of judgeships at the Circuit Court will help the County by:

1. Fixing scheduling concerns and minimizing improper delays in criminal matters,
2. Alleviating safety concerns by insuring that criminal defendants do not remain on bond longer than necessary or that jailed defendants are released due to jail overcrowding,
3. Avoid housing felony defendants awaiting trial in the County Jail (at County expense) any longer than necessary;
4. Allowing for prompter resolution of business disputes and thereby continuing to make Macomb an attractive place to do business,
5. Eliminating delays in resolving custody, parenting time, and child support disputes, and
6. Avoiding the potential allegations "assembly line" justice.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT:

1. The Macomb County Board of Commissioners hereby approves the addition of one new circuit court judgeship in 2017, and a second new circuit court judgeship in 2019.
2. Digital copies of this resolution shall be provided to County Executive Mark Hackel, Chief Circuit Court Judge James M. Biernat, Jr., County Clerk Carmella Sabaugh, Prosecutor Eric Smith, Sheriff Anthony Wickersham, County Treasurer Ted Wahby, and all Macomb County's District Court Judges.
3. All resolutions and parts of resolutions are, to the extent of any conflict with this resolution, rescinded.

 Dave Flynn
 Chairman, Macomb County Commission

 Carmella Sabaugh
 Macomb County Clerk/Register of Deeds

Adopted: _____, 2015
 Delivered to Executive: _____, 2015
 Notice Published: _____, 2015



Macomb County Circuit Court

Circuit Judges:

JAMES M. BIERNAT, JR., *Chief Judge*
 MATTHEW S. SWITALSKI, *Chief Judge Pro Tem*
 MARY A. CHRZANOWSKI
 MARK S. SWITALSKI
 EDWARD A. SERVITTO, JR.
 RICHARD L. CARETTI
 DIANE M. DRUZINSKI
 TRACEY A. YOKICH
 KATHRYN A. VIVIANO
 JENNIFER M. FAUNCE
 JAMES M. MACERONI
 JOSEPH TOIA
 KATHRYN A. GEORGE*


*Probate Judge assigned to Family Division

Clerk of the Court
 CARMELLA SABAUGH

Court Administrator
 JENNIFER PHILLIPS

MEMORANDUM

To: Andrew Kim, Director of Legislative Affairs

From: Chief Judge James M. Biernat, Jr. 

Date: October 15, 2015

Re: Additional Information regarding New Judgeships

As a follow-up to the JPS Committee meeting held on October 7 and your email dated October 9, I am responding to Commissioner Carabelli's and Commissioner Sabatini's request for more information regarding the Court's request for new judgeships. Specifically, it is our understanding that the Commissioners would like more information regarding the timeline for the judgeship approvals and rationale for approving the 2019 seat now rather than at a later date.

MCL 600.517 restored a temporarily eliminated judgeship beginning January 1, 2017, added a judgeship beginning January 1, 2017, and added another judgeship beginning January 1, 2019. MCL 600.550 outlines the procedures for creating new circuit court judgeships and filling those positions by elections.

Each additional judgeship requires a resolution adopted by the county board of commissioners approving the creation of that judgeship. MCL 600.550(1). The clerk of the county must file "a copy of the resolution with the state court administrator not later than 4 p.m. on the sixteenth Tuesday preceding the August primary for the election to fill the additional circuit judgeship." *Id.* The state court administrator immediately notifies the elections division of the department of state with respect to each new circuit judgeship authorized. *Id.* Specifically, a resolution must be adopted and filed by April 19, 2016 for the 2017 judgeship and April 24, 2018 for the 2019 judgeship.

Adopting the proposed resolution with both additional judgeships will allow the Court to better plan for the future. Dockets and staffing require adjustment to accommodate the additions. Advance notice will allow the Court to arrange the workload in the most efficient manner and have a multi-year plan to complete the task. The adoption of the proposed resolution will allow the Court to also plan fiscally over a several year period for the increases in the budget.

Currently, we have one Probate Judge assigned to the Family Division of Circuit Court to assist with the Circuit Court docket. In the meantime, the Probate caseload continues to grow. The Court has also made multiple docket reassignments in the last few years to adjust the workloads, particularly in the Family and Civil Divisions. In the Family Division, cases often last for several years, particularly when young children are involved. It is not efficient for the Court or the best service to the public to continue to make docket changes and put stop gap measures in place. The Court needs to be in a position to assign judicial resources based on a long term cohesive plan.

Moreover, the trend for the 16th Judicial Circuit Court is additional judgeships. There is minimal to no concern that in the next few years the case filings will reduce to the level that additional judgeships are unnecessary. Rather, in the 2015 Judicial Resources Recommendation, the State Court Administrative Office has further determined the additional need of 1.25 judgeships to the seats already authorized by the legislation.

The 16th Judicial Circuit Court is the most under judged court in the state and was originally recommended for five additional seats. The Court is conservatively asking for two of the five seats that were recommended, in addition to the one returning seat. Recent data determined that the Court is still the most under judged in the State and requires 1.25 seats in addition to the ones being requested. Since the need for additional seats is far beyond what is being requested, it would be the most prudent and allow for the most realistic long term planning to approve the seats at this time. The Court is respectfully asking the Board to adopt a resolution that conforms to MCL 600.517 for both the 2017 and 2019 judgeships as intended by the State Legislature and Governor in enacting this legislation.

JMB/el

cc: David Flynn, Chairman Board of Commissioners
Jennifer Phillips, Court Administrator

MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAN

RESOLUTION TO: receive and file report from Board Chair for October 2015

INTRODUCED BY: Dave Flynn, Full Board

- The following is a report on activities within the Board Office which do not usually appear on committee agendas.

Current Issues

- MTB

Office

- Part-time Special Projects Coordinator position has been posted
- BOC and Health Department Mural Project: Applications are due November 13 and then the voting process will begin.
 - Winners to be announced December 14; Projects to be completed by April 29, 2016
- Newsletters completed, to be sent out November 2 (Draft).
- 2016 Board meeting calendar: Proposal included
- Elected Official Compensation Study
 - Sent to 610 organizations, viewed by 21, zero bid on the project
- Public Act 2 Funds Consideration: Carabelli Memo
- Meeting with Michigan Association of Counties RE: Dark Stores (Senate Bill 54 and House Bill 4909)

Upcoming Items

- November 3: Election Day
- Macomb County Business Award Nominations being accepted through November 12; more information can be obtained from Planning.

Regional

- Build Transit Build Business RTA Master Plan Roll Out: November 8 at Congregation Shaarey Zedek
- Great Lakes Water Authority (GLWA) named Sue McCormick, former DWSD director, as CEO.
- Gary Brown named CEO of DWSD.
- DIA's newest exhibition, 30 Americans, opened this week and is here through January 18, 2016.

Correspondence:

- Casual Day Program letters of thanks
- Resolutions

Media:

- See attached articles.

BOC – Independent Counsel: Expenditures for Outside Legal Counsel Professional Services Litigation

InvoiceCharges:	Legal Svcs Budget Amount:	Legal Services Invoice Totals:	Budget Remaining:	%Utilized:
	\$120,400 (2015)			
		\$ 5,566.00 (January)		
		<u>3,243.00 (February)</u>		
		\$ 8,809.00	\$111,591	0.07
		<u>6,992.00 (March)</u>		
		\$15,801.00	\$104,599	0.13
		<u>3,334.00 (April)</u>		
		\$19,135.00	\$101,265	0.16
		<u>1,265.00 (May)</u>		
		\$20,400.00	\$102,530	0.17
		<u>1,380.00 (June)</u>		
		\$21,780.00	\$101,150	0.18
		<u>1,065.00 (July)</u>		
		\$22,845.00	\$102,215	0.19
		10,355.00	\$ 91,860	

COMMITTEE/MEETING DATE

Full Board 10-22-15



Mark A. Hackel
County Executive

COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY

21885 Dunham Road, Suite 10 • Clinton Township, Michigan 48036

Phone: (586) 469-6999 • Fax: (586) 469-5530

www.macombgov.org/MCCSA

54



Rhonda M. Powell
Director

September 21, 2015

David J. Flynn, Board Chairman
Board of Commissioners
1 S. Main St., 9th Floor
Mount Clemens, MI 48043

Dear Commissioner Flynn,

On behalf of Macomb County senior citizens receiving Project Fresh coupons across our county I extend deepest appreciation for the generous casual day contributions the county employees made to the MCCSA Office of Senior Services in support of our Project Fresh program. This generous donation will support both seniors and Michigan farmers. The donation of \$322.11 will allow us to provide 16 seniors a Project Fresh coupon booklet to purchase Michigan-grown produce at registered roadside stands and farmers markets.

Again, thank you for your continued support in our effort to help prevent premature nursing home placement, malnutrition and social isolation among the aging population in Macomb County.

Sincerely,

Katherine R. Benford
Division Director
Office of Senior Services



Mark A. Hackel
County Executive

COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY

21885 Dunham Road, Suite 10 • Clinton Township, Michigan 48036

Phone: (586) 469-6999 • Fax: (586) 469-5530

www.macombgov.org/MCCSA

55



Rhonda M. Powell
Director

September 21, 2015

David J. Flynn, Board Chairman
Board of Commissioners
1 S. Main St., 9th Floor
Mount Clemens, MI 48043

Dear Commissioner Flynn,

On behalf of Macomb County senior citizens receiving Meals on Wheels across our county I extend deepest appreciation for the generous 2014 casual day contributions the county employees made to the MCCSA Office of Senior Services in support of our Meals on Wheels program. This generous donation will support the delivery of hot, nutritious meals to senior citizens across Macomb County. Specifically, \$1,328.11 will allow us to provide 443 meals to frail, homebound seniors who may otherwise go without proper nutrition!

Again, thank you for your continued support in our effort to help prevent premature nursing home placement, malnutrition and social isolation among the aging population in Macomb County.

Sincerely,

Katherine R. Benford
Division Director
Office of Senior Services



Mark A. Hackel
County Executive


COMMUNITY SERVICES AGENCY

21885 Dunham Road, Suite 10 • Clinton Township, Michigan 48036

Phone: (586) 469-6999 • Fax: (586) 469-5530

www.macombgov.org/MCCSA

56



September 21, 2015

David J. Flynn, Board Chairman
Board of Commissioners
1 S. Main St., 9th Floor
Mount Clemens, MI 48043

Dear Commissioner Flynn,

On behalf of Macomb County senior citizens receiving Meals on Wheels across our county I extend deepest appreciation for the generous 2013 casual day contributions the county employees made to the MCCSA Office of Senior Services in support of our Meals on Wheels program. This generous donation will support the delivery of hot, nutritious meals to senior citizens across Macomb County. Specifically, \$1,743.35 will allow us to provide 581 meals to frail, homebound seniors who may otherwise go without proper nutrition!

Again, thank you for your continued support in our effort to help prevent premature nursing home placement, malnutrition and social isolation among the aging population in Macomb County.

Sincerely,

Katherine R. Benford
Division Director
Office of Senior Services



Anchor Bay Community Giving Tree

Date 9/28/2015

RECEIVED

SEP 30 2015

Officers

PRESIDENT
Sherry Lawfield
VICE PRESIDENT
Geraldine Kelly
TREASURER
Samantha Hudson
SECRETARY
Michelle Groth
BOARD TRUSTEE
Dan Groth

Macomb County Casual Days:

We wanted to send all of you a grateful, heartfelt thank you for including us in your Casual Friday Program.

Your generous donations to the Anchor Bay Community Giving Tree will support the less fortunate children of Macomb County during the upcoming holiday season.

Our organization supplies food, clothing, school supplies and Christmas wishes to the less fortunate children of Macomb and St Clair Counties and, with your support that is one more child we can help this year. These children and families are victims of economic faults or hardships from fires, accidents, or medical issues that devastate a family.

Every \$1.00 we receive goes right back to the local child in need for the holiday season.

Could you please share our thank you to all of your staff and participants to assure them their donations will serve a great cause!

If you know of anyone that could use our help, please do not hesitate to get in contact with us.

Thank you very much for your generous support.

Sherry Lawfield

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Committee

Members

Stacy Gotz
Corey Marston
Liz Frankhouse
Mike Frankhouse
Tim Kelly
Ken Lawfield
Kim Anderson

Members

Lindsay Beckman
Randy Blackburn
Izzy Fish
Eric Haydemack
Lane Marston



MACOMB COUNTY
invites applications for the position of:
Special Projects

An Equal Opportunity Employer

SALARY: \$13.28 - \$13.28 Hourly

OPENING DATE: 10/14/15

CLOSING DATE: 10/27/15 05:00 PM

GENERAL RESPONSIBILITIES:

CURRENT HOURS AND STARTING TIME: Part-time (up to 20 hours per week) position. The starting time for this position is currently 8:30 a.m. Some evenings and may be needed, and some flexibility in the start time is possible.

DEPARTMENT: Board of Commissioners

F.L.S.A. STATUS: Non-exempt

HIRING AUTHORITY: Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners

The employee in this position reports to and serves at the will and discretion of the Chairperson of the Board of Commissioners (Chair).

Under direction, of the Chair with a high degree of independence, conducts research, completes projects and assignments related to the legislative duties of the Board of Commissioners (BOC); provides support as needed for BOC programs and events; performs related duties as assigned.

ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS:

Conducts research, compiles and prepares reports, records and other documents related to the legislative duties of the BOC.

Provides support as needed for BOC programs and events.

Performs general clerical work as needed on special projects as assigned by the Chair.

Attends meetings and events as needed.

Operates standard office equipment such as personal computers, facsimile machines, copiers and calculators.

Operates an automobile while performing job duties.

Complies with P.A. 390, as amended, known as the State's Emergency Management Act and the County's Emergency Management resolution as well as all related plans, policies and procedures covered by these statutes.

ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONS:

The statements contained in this position description are intended to describe the general nature and level of work being performed by individuals assigned to this position. They are not to be construed as an exhaustive list of all job duties performed.

EDUCATION, TRAINING AND EXPERIENCE:

An Associate Degree or two (2) years of college credits in Political Science, Communication, Business Administration, Public Administration or a related field from an accredited college or university.

A Bachelor Degree in Political Science, Communication, Business Administration, Public Administration or a related field from an accredited college or university is preferred.

Previous experience in a government setting is preferred.

Successful completion of the probationary period for the position of Special Projects.

Be physically able to perform the essential functions of the position, with or without reasonable accommodation.

ADDITIONAL QUALIFICATIONS:

Ability to use Microsoft Office Suite products, Google Apps and other common programs.

Ability to prepare and present written and verbal reports in a clear and logical manner

Ability to effectively speak, write and understand the English language.

Ability to speak and understand a language other than English is preferred.

Ability to work independently.

Possession of a valid Michigan driver's license and an operable insured automobile for authorized travel.

Ability to conduct oneself with tact and courtesy.

APPLICATIONS MAY BE FILED ONLINE AT:

<http://www.macombgov.org>

Job #15-00514
SPECIAL PROJECTS
JS

OUR OFFICE IS LOCATED AT:

120 N. Main Street

1st Floor

Mt. Clemens, MI 48043

586-469-5280

human.resources@macombgov.org

An Equal Opportunity Employer

Special Projects Supplemental Questionnaire

* 1. Do you have an Associate Degree or two (2) years of college credits in Political Science, Communication, Business Administration, Public Administration or a related field from an accredited college or university?

Yes

No

* 2. Do you have previous experience in a government setting?

Yes

No

* Required Question

Search C&G Website

Warren, MI 77° F

CLICK HERE FOR OUR
WEEKLY PRINT EDITIONS



SUBURBAN
 CHRYSLER DODGE JEEP RAM
OF TROY

2015 RAM OUTDOORSMAN
 as low as \$181 MO LEASE \$1000 Down

2015 JEEP CHEROKEE
 as low as \$165 MO LEASE \$1000 Down

2015 CHRYSLER 200 S LTD.
 as low as \$199 MO LEASE \$4000 Down

FRUEE MONTH

*See Dealer for further details

MACOMB COUNTY

contracts

By Nick Mordowanec
September 9, 2015

1 1 8

MACOMB COUNTY — The price of water has been a long-debated topic in metro Detroit, and recent meetings involving community leaders and members of the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department, or DWSD, have shed some light on the issue.

Macomb Area Communities for Regional Opportunities, or MACRO, has held meetings with DWSD representatives to discuss forthcoming contracts in relation to the Great Lakes Water Authority, or GLWA — an arrangement enacted in November 2014 that involves Macomb, Oakland and Wayne counties paying annual lease payments.

The deal occurred as a way for the city of Detroit to restructure its own financial situation — one that was buried in bankruptcy.

MACRO features members of the following municipalities: Clinton Township, Eastpointe, Utica, Warren, Sterling Heights, Shelby Township, New Baltimore, Roseville, Harrison Township and Mount Clemens. Other members include the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments, or SEMCOG, and the MSU Extension.

The most recent meeting took place Aug. 12 in New Baltimore. MACRO members heard presentations from DWSD Director and GLWA interim CEO Sue McCormick and GLWA Implementation Program Manager Eric Rothstein.

Clinton Township Supervisor Bob Cannon said two new members were accepted into



advertisement

Kroger

\$4.69 Michigan Tamarack Farm Apple Cider
 With Card

Valid until Sep 29
 Hover for Ad

Life with...
happiness

Live in comfort. Make new friends. Enjoy each day.
It's time to thrive!

All-inclusive monthly rental with three meals per day!

586.646.4004
 8330 Plumbrook Road
 Sterling Heights, MI 48313
 PineRidgePlumbrook.com

Pine Ridge of Plumbrook
 SENIOR LIVING
 A SPECTRUM RETIREMENT COMMUNITY

MOST POPULAR Today 30 DAYS
Today's most viewed stories

1. Serious crash occurs at 23 Mile and Schoenherr - Shelby Township
2. Body found below transmission tower near 26 Mile

MACRO during the meeting: Chesterfield Township and Washington Township.

He said McCormick spoke for about 30 minutes while members listened and responded with a few questions of their own.

Most of Macomb County is still deliberating on signing a long-term contract, Cannon said, and most of the county's municipalities currently have not yet signed anything.

"There's no urgency to sign this new contract, if you will, until the first of January," Cannon said.

Cannon said members are still seeking more details on how the deal would affect their respective municipalities, and he doesn't believe water rate increases would increase just 4 percent -- which is what has been advertised.

"I'm afraid our rate bases will be in double digits at the end of the year," he said. "Everything was done in secret; I even sent key staff members to meetings, and they were ordered not to tell what went on at those meetings. That is ridiculous -- none of that should be kept secret."

At MACRO's previous monthly meeting July 9, members unanimously agreed to hear more details before anything became signed and official.

Sterling Heights Mayor Michael C. Taylor shared the same view as Cannon, saying that the county has to take care of its residents first and foremost.

"Macomb County is home to some of the largest wholesale water customers in the Detroit Water and Sewerage district," Taylor said July 9. "As leaders in our communities, we have a fiduciary responsibility to make sure our residents and businesses are getting the best possible deal when it comes to water and sewer services.

"Before our governing bodies are asked to transfer existing agreements to the new Great Lakes Water Authority, we need to be absolutely certain the newly proposed structure is in the best interest of our customers."

Cannon said the GLWA is "a step-by-step process" that still leaves many questions unanswered. It's the goal of MACRO members to make sure their communities receive a fair shake.

"Ultimately, we're going to have to go with what they want us to go with," Cannon said. "I don't like how the process has carried out, how they treated Macomb County.

"We need to find common ground and move forward in a positive direction."

1 : 1 : 8

and Jewell - **Shelby Township**

- 3. Bank robbery suspect shot by armed customer - **Warren**
- 4. Grosse Pointe Park investigators seek armed robbery suspects - **Grosse Pointe Park**
- 5. Tree mural celebrates leadership at Edmonson Elementary - **Madison Heights**
- 6. Woman killed in boating accident on Lake St. Clair - **Harrison Township**
- 7. Proclamation comes with a shot of 'Adrenalin' - **Warren**

YOU MAY ALSO BE INTERESTED IN NEWS



CLINTON TOWNSHIP
Macomb County hosts naturalization event

CLINTON TOWNSHIP
Clinton Township woman convicted of felony second-degree murder

CLINTON TOWNSHIP
Man found guilty of manslaughter for killing friend

METRO DETROIT
Diabetes on the rise



FRASER
Hope Center refocuses to help those in need

For more local news coverage, see the following newspaper:

Fraser - Clinton Township Chronicle

Log In


The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

Billions needed to keep water systems working

Billions needed to keep water systems working

By Norb Franz, The Macomb Daily

Monday, September 28, 2015



Drivers in Michigan chidingly say the state has two seasons — one marked by the potholes, the other by construction barrels.

Both are familiar symbols of crumbling roads. But experts warn a wider and very expensive problem is percolating below the surface.

Around the country, scores of decaying drinking water systems built around the time of World War II and earlier are in need of replacement. The costs to rebuild them will be staggering. The costs of inaction are already piling up. The challenge is deepened by drought conditions in some regions and government mandates to remove more contaminants.

At stake is the continued availability of clean, cheap drinking water — a public health achievement that has fueled the nation's growth for generations.

“The future is getting a little dark for something as basic and fundamental as water,” said Adam Krantz of the Water Infrastructure Network, a lobbying group that is fighting cuts to key federal water programs.

Unlike pothole-scarred roads or crumbling bridges, decaying water systems often go unnoticed until they fail. But without big changes in national policy, local governments and their ratepayers will be largely on their own in paying for the upgrades. The amount of federal money available is a drop in the bucket. That will mean rising water rates on customers, a trend that expected to continue for years.

“That’s the key that Americans have to understand: If they want this system, they are going to have to be willing to finance it,” said Greg DiLoreto, past president of the American Society of Civil Engineers, which has warned of a future with more equipment failures that will disrupt water service, transportation and commerce.

In most places across the country, the promise of clean, cheap, readily available water has been taken for granted, but that has begun to change. Farm runoff has polluted municipal water sources, drought has taken its toll on reservoirs and wells, and the aging underground networks of pipes that carry water to homes and businesses rupture all too frequently.

More than a million miles of underground pipes distribute water to American homes, and maintaining that network remains the largest and costliest long-term concern.

Just as with crumbling bridges or congested highways, the solutions don't come cheap.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency projects it will cost \$384 billion over 20 years just to maintain the nation's existing drinking water infrastructure. Replacing pipes, treatment plants and other infrastructure as well as expanding drinking water systems to handle population growth could cost as much as \$1 trillion. Without that investment, industry groups warn of a future with more infrastructure failures that will impact water service, hinder transportation and affect commerce.

Despite the need, the largest federal aid program for improving the nation's drinking water system has more than \$1 billion sitting unspent in government accounts, a review of data by The Associated Press shows. That is largely the result of project delays, poor management by some states and structural problems.

Adding to the concerns over a lack of investment, many parts of the country simply don't have enough water. Between the West and pockets of the Southeast, 71 million people are now affected by drought, according to federal calculations. In a recent survey by the U.S. Government Accountability Office, 40 of 50 state water managers said they anticipate supply shortages in at least part of their states over the next decade.

As they get older, water mains fail in different ways. Some split and rupture, with an estimated 700 main breaks occurring around the U.S. every day. The most devastating failures damage roadways, close businesses and shut off service for hours or days.

Utilities have long struggled to predict when to replace pipes, which have vastly different life cycles depending on the materials they are made from and where they are buried. Experts say a peak of up to 20,000 miles of pipe will need to be replaced annually beginning around 2035, up from roughly 5,000 miles currently. Each mile can cost \$500,000 or more.

The impacts are playing out across the nation. The Philadelphia water department, the nation's oldest, is already spending tens of millions of dollars more per year to replace its worst pipes. Yet the city saw more than 900 water main breaks in the most recent budget year. In June, two massive breaks forced evacuations and damaged cars, homes and businesses.

New Orleans once boasted about not raising water rates for two decades. But in 2012, the city approved 10 percent increases on water bills for eight straight years as part of a plan to fix its crumbling system. The average household's monthly water-and-sewer bill will climb to \$115 by 2020.

The massive main break that flooded the UCLA campus in Los Angeles in 2014 was widely seen as a wakeup call for failing infrastructure.

Pipes aren't the only components in need of big investments. Many treatment plants are old and need to be replaced or rebuilt at a cost of tens of millions of dollars even in small cities. New valves to control water flow, new pumping stations to keep up pressure and new tanks to store water are also needed.

The demand for investment comes as revenue is falling, in large part because Americans are using less water and installing more efficient toilets and showerheads. Many households affected by drought have also cut their usage, either voluntarily or because of mandatory orders. That is good for conservation but starves water systems, which charge customers based on the amount used.

Facing the loss of income, many have been forced to raise rates. Customers essentially are paying more for using less.

Costs are also rising to accommodate federal regulations that require removal of more potentially harmful contaminants.

Need and improvements

Michigan ranks 27th in per capita federal spending for capital improvement projects for water. The state ranks 9th in projected money needed over 20 years at \$13.8 billion, of which \$9.5 billion would be for water transmission and distribution, indicating Michigan's water and sewer infrastructure is aging and in need of repairs or replacement.

Locally, the city of Mount Clemens, which operates its own water filtration plant and a waste water treatment plant, has borrowed \$16.6 million to finance water system improvements. The last time was 2007, when \$3.9 million was used for various upgrades, including an ozone system.

"We've won multiple awards for best tasting water," City Manager Steven Brown said.

On the waste water side, Mount Clemens has borrowed \$22 million. Nearly one-third is still to be paid off. That includes \$1.19 million in 2010 from the State Revolving Fund for an ultraviolet light treatment system that cuts down on the use of chemicals. The city of Warren made the same improvement years ago.

"There's no mistaking that it's expensive to keep these systems running," Brown said. "There's always going to be a need for reinvesting in the system as equipment gets old."

Because Mount Clemens operates its own water and waste water plants, the city does not contract with the Detroit Department of Water and Sewerage for the vital service. But it also enables Mount Clemens to sell water to neighboring Clinton and Harrison townships, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base.

"We're always open to talking to other communities about their interest in" buying water from the city, Brown said.

A few months ago, Mount Clemens officials outsourced the oversight of its water and sewer plants to F&V Operations and Resource Management.

"We're working on defining our capital plan and make the most efficient investments that we can," Brown added.

Among infrastructure improvements in Warren, construction was completed in May on replacement of the water main and new pavement along Martin Road, between Van Dyke and Hoover Road. Price tag: \$3 million. In 2013, pressure reducing stations were installed at 14 Mile and Mound roads, and at 14 Mile at Schoenherr roads, at a cost of \$819,000.

In Fraser, an outcry by some residents about water rates has made the water/sewer bills an issue in this year's City Council election.

"It's a very touchy subject, absolutely," said Councilman Paul Cilluffo, who is running for mayor this fall. "A lot of people don't understand why it costs so much."

Some are calling for formation of a task force to examine water and sewer costs.

Cilluffo said the city has improved the monitoring of utility pipes to reduce the amount of water leakage.

The Detroit Water and Sewerage Department is, by far, the largest supplier of water service in the region. DWSD provides water to 125 communities; from Flint in the north to Monroe County in the south, and west to Ypsilanti.

On its community outreach website, DWSD notes that water main breaks and sewage overflows require costly repairs.

“Focusing investment where it is needed most and following through on long term rehabilitation programs is key to gaining traction in the continuous process of infrastructure renewal,” the department said.

Federal spending on water infrastructure across Michigan is expected to be \$612 million this year. However, the estimated investment that officials say is necessary for the next 20 years is \$13.8 billion.

Oakland County Deputy Executive Robert Daddow pointed out the Great Lakes have half of the world’s fresh water.

“We’ve got quite a lot of water. The quality of the water from DWSD has never been the issue,” said Daddow, a board member for the fledgling Great Lakes Water Authority.

Delivering water to more than 125 communities – serving approximately 4.5 million people – the Detroit system is one of the largest in the country. Estimates to repair and upgrade the against water and sewer system are upwards of \$500 million.

The Great Lakes Water Authority was formed -- amid some controversy -- via a lease agreement signed between Macomb, Oakland and Wayne counties earlier this year. The fledgling authority takes effect Jan. 1 if all conditions are met. It ends decades of U.S. District Court oversight of DWSD that began in the 1970s and continued over issues such as governance and setting of rates, by transferring assets and debts to the new authority. In exchange, suburban communities will pay Detroit \$50 million a year for 40 years to allow Detroit to finance \$500 million to \$800 million to fix the city’s aging system.

The lease gives the suburbs a bigger voice in operating the Detroit system and setting rates. It also establishes a \$4.5 million fund to assist low-income customers in paying their water bills.

Buying power

Macomb County Public Works Commissioner Anthony Marrocco wants to go a step further.

Marrocco recently announced he intends to form a Macomb County water authority to provide “negotiating muscle” in contracting with the GLWA. He said the Public Works office is contacting the 18 communities in the county that contract directly with DWSD for water service. Marrocco hopes those cities, townships and villages band together, saying he is certain savings can be achieved and to also tackle water pressure control problems and construction projects.

“My office has the ability to coordinate the necessary functions to make such an authority a reality and in the process save our ratepayers substantial money and mobilize our resources to place water service on a more reliable and sustainable basis,” the county public works commissioner said.

“We could create our own hybrid model, based on several possible statues that we are reviewing.”

Wayne County is the state’s largest user of public water, and the largest user of water for industrial uses. Oakland County is the largest irrigator of golf courses. Macomb is fourth and Wayne is sixth.

In total water use, Wayne County is third, Oakland is 17th and Macomb is 44th.

Digital First Media’s Charles Crumm of the Oakland Press contributed to the report.


The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

County marks Manufacturing Day

Students tour plants, meet with workers

By Frank DeFrank, *The Macomb Daily*

Friday, October 2, 2015



You might say manufacturing in Macomb County is out of this world.

Or will be.

Macomb County Executive Mark Hackel served as unofficial host Friday to students, educators and dignitaries to mark the county's participation in Manufacturing Day.

And when he noted one of the projects under way at Futuramic Tool and Engineering in Warren — building fuel cells for rockets — the county executive could barely contain himself.

“The mission to Mars starts right here in Macomb County,” he gushed.

Created to shine a light on local industry and introduce high school students to careers they might not otherwise consider, about 40 companies from around the county hosted some 1,800 high school students and others. Students toured facilities, met with workers and learned about high-tech manufacturing jobs and the skills they might need to claim one.

“I don't know anywhere in the country where the focus on this is like it is in Macomb County,” Hackel said. “... Manufacturing, I have no doubt, is here to stay.”

Scott Palmer, a career technical education consultant for the Macomb Intermediate School District, said officers from manufacturing firms from all over Macomb County voice a common complaint when he speaks to them: The one thing holding them back is the lack of an educated workforce. When workers retire, replacing them is a challenge.

“All that knowledge goes out the door,” Palmer said.

That's where schools and events like Manufacturing Day come in, Palmer said. Introducing students to interesting — and lucrative — careers in manufacturing “keeps the pipeline flowing,” he said.

John Couch, vice president of Futuramic, said his company participates in Manufacturing Day to help get out the word to students that good-paying careers are available right in their own neighborhoods.

“There's a wide range of opportunities,” Couch said. “We don't know if they know that. We're trying to reach out to them.”

Tremone McRae and Heaven Lipford, two of 33 Lincoln High School students who toured Futuramic on Friday, heard the message. Both students said they would have no difficulty finding an interesting career based on what they saw Friday.

“Of course,” Lipford said. “I like engineering, especially, and I like making and creating things with my own hands.”

With so many interesting careers available today, McRae speculated even more will beckon in a few years when he’s ready to join the workforce.

“Already there’s so much you can do,” he said. “There’s a lot of good stuff.”

URL: <http://www.macombdaily.com/general-news/20151002/county-marks-manufacturing-day>

© 2015 The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

County leaders at summit as Macomb possible destination for refugees

Local officials taking part in Welcoming Committees Summit

By Macomb Daily Staff

Wednesday, October 7, 2015



As thousands of refugees flee war-ravaged Syria and other Middle Eastern nations, could some of them end up settling in Macomb County?

That remains to be seen, but with that possibility in mind, a pair of county officials, Assistant Executive Pam Lavers and Health and Community Services Director Steve Gold, are attending the Welcoming Committees Summit in Washington, D.C. this week.

The gathering is put on by Welcoming America, a national organization that works toward immigrant inclusion.

Lavers and Gold are attending the conference on behalf of the OneMacomb program, which was started by County Executive Mark Hackel to support Macomb County's increasing cultural diversity and inclusion efforts. They are advocates for Macomb County by attending workshops such as Race, Culture and Welcoming Communities, Expanding Opportunities for Immigrant Leadership, discussing topics such as refugee placement, and participating in a Legislative Action Day, a county press release said.

Nationally, 40 communities have signed on with Welcoming America as early acceptors, meaning they are embracing the relocation of immigrants. Among the communities on that list of 40 are Macomb County, Sterling Heights and Clinton Township. The list also includes Detroit.

Launched during National Welcoming Week, the Welcoming Communities Campaign is part of a broader package of announcements made by the White House Task Force on New Americans.

"I am proud to be part of the Michigan delegation at the White House convening," said Lavers in the county release issued Wednesday. "OneMacomb is committed to building a more welcoming county, and being in D.C. with leaders across the nation is invaluable."

Any from the mass Syrian exodus who end up on county soil will likely find many former Middle Eastern neighbors. In 2014, Macomb took in 40 percent of those emigrating to Michigan, tops among the state's 83 counties. That number also placed it as the third most popular destination among counties nationwide. Last December, local experts on refugees told the Macomb County Board of Commissioners that nearly all of those coming to Macomb County are Iraqis — in most cases Chaldeans, Christian Iraqis, who have fled post-war Iraq due to ongoing violence and religious persecution. The most concentrated areas of relocation have been in the western end of Sterling Heights and Warren, officials noted.

Welcoming America connects a broad network of non-profits and local government and supports them

through know-how, tools and incentives to develop plans, programs and policies that transform communities into vibrant places where people respect each other and everyone's talents are valued and cultivated.

To find out more about OneMacomb visit diversity.macombgov.org.

URL: <http://www.macombdaily.com/government-and-politics/20151007/county-leaders-at-summit-as-macomb-possible-destination-for-refugees>

© 2015 The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

Macomb Commissioners create regional conservation district

County district joins with Sanilac/St. Clair

By Nicole Tuttle, For The Macomb Daily

Wednesday, October 14, 2015



A new tri-county collaborative is the result of a Macomb County Board of Commissioners effort to streamline service and reduce administrative costs.

The Macomb County Conservation District has merged with the Sanilac/St. Clair Conservation District. The Macomb County Conservation District provides soil and water conservation assistance to Macomb County farmers. This joint effort was developed through the Macomb County Board of Commissioners during their 2016 budget process, which was ratified Sept. 10.

“The government wants consolidation of services when they are duplicated. St. Clair and Sanilac were in Macomb County doing projects, and as we looked at the projects, we decided, why duplicate services when we could combine and get better bang for the buck for both parties involved. We decided to consolidate services and get the best product possible to residents,” Macomb County Commissioner Jim Carabelli (R-Shelby Township) said on Oct. 13.

Negotiations between the entities took half a year, according to Carabelli.

Macomb County Commissioner Don Brown (R-Washington Township) said the combined agencies can benefit by shared experiences, and that more money will get out into the field.

“Each county is unique in their land use patterns and how they do business. Sanilac and St. Clair are more experienced in areas of grant programs and managing grant programs,” Brown said on Oct. 13.

Brown and Carabelli were impressed with a prior arrangement between the districts and the Natural Resource Conservation District that resulted in the creation of a farm bill technician position and encouraged the two commissioners to advocate a formal collaboration. On Oct. 13 Carabelli said the farm bill technician position held by Megan Naplin is a traveling one with offices in Macomb and Sanilac counties

“By reducing the number of administrators between the conservation districts, we will instead be able to provide more services to land owners,” Brown said in a press release. “It is important our taxpayers continue to receive services in an efficient and effective manner.”

The two collectively represent much of the area most impacted by the conservation district as Brown represents Washington, Ray, Bruce, Richmond and Armada townships as well as the villages of Romeo and Armada and the cities of Richmond and Memphis, while Carabelli represents Shelby Township and Utica.

During the budget process, the board re-appropriated \$13,650 to the Sanilac/St. Clair Conservation District from the Macomb County Conservation District. The board also added \$6,350 to increase grant matching funds.

“By having more power to leverage local, state and federal tax dollars, we can continue to ensure the residents of Macomb County are fully supported in their agricultural and environmental interests,” Carabelli said via press release. “This unique collaboration wouldn’t have been possible though if it weren’t for the dedication of the conservation districts.”

Examples of grants and programs for future pursuit include urban and rural forestry grants, river restoration programs and invasive species control. Brown said the new partnership could also help improve water quality.

Carabelli added the agreement served as a win/win for all parties involved.

“We are able to use the dollars we have for matching grants through the state and federal governments,” Carabelli said.

The Macomb County Conservation District faced some controversy in 2010. An audit of the district confirmed misuse of a tax-funded credit card, padded payrolls and nepotism. The Macomb Conservation District Board agreed to allow the former executive director to end the matter by reimbursing the agency for \$3,100 instead of addressing the full \$13,400 in expenditures raised by the audit.

It also faced problems in 2001 when officials discovered that a bookkeeper had embezzled more than \$30,000 from the agency. The woman was prosecuted for writing checks to herself and disguising them as payments to vendors. The insurance company that paid for the loss was assured by the MCD board that the agency would tighten financial matters. Brown said Tuesday these types of incidents did have a bearing on the board of commissioner’s decision to join Macomb County Conservation District and Sanilac/St. Clair Conservation District in a streamlined service.

“Past problems have been corrected. But in operating jointly we can see how others do things. Macomb’s agricultural base is smaller than St. Clair, smaller than Sanilac County. We gain by partnering with groups that have more experience in managing programs,” he said.

URL: <http://www.macombdaily.com/general-news/20151014/macomb-commissioners-create-regional-conservation-district>

© 2015 The Macomb Daily (<http://www.macombdaily.com>)

McCormick named 1st CEO of Great Lakes Water Authority

Matt Helms, Detroit Free Press 5:37 p.m. EDT October 19, 2015



(Photo: Free Press file photo)

The board of the new Great Lakes Water Authority that will manage water and sewer service for Detroit's suburbs today selected Sue McCormick as the agency's first chief executive officer.

A nearly five-month nationwide search narrowed down a field of 178 candidates to two finalists: McCormick, director of the Detroit Water and Sewerage Department and interim CEO of the suburban system, and Randy Moore, president of Iowa American Water, an investor-owned utility in that state.

McCormick is expected to be replaced at the DWSD by Gary Brown, the former Detroit chief operating officer who Mayor Mike Duggan nominated as director; the DWSD board approved Brown unanimously.

"For the last year, Sue's leadership and experience have been invaluable for successfully establishing GLWA while simultaneously maintaining her role as director of the DWSD organization," board members Joseph Nardone of Wayne County and Brian Baker of Macomb County said in a statement. "With her appointment, and with Gary Brown recently named as director designate for the new DWSD retail organization, we have established clear executive roles to represent both entities, putting us one step closer to launch on January 1."

Both credited McCormick with initiatives that have reduced costs, improved financial performance and boosted regulatory compliance.

The GLWA will lease suburban water assets from Detroit for \$50 million a year for 40 years, money that Detroit will use to upgrade its aging system. Scheduled to begin in June, the regional authority will be responsible for suburban water and sewer infrastructure, including treatment plants and major pipelines, while Detroit keeps responsibility for water and sewer infrastructure within the city.

"The establishment of GLWA is key to fixing our aging infrastructure to the benefit of all southeast Michigan residents," Brown said. "I look forward to continuing to work with Sue on this unprecedented partnership between the City of Detroit and its surrounding communities."

The water authority said that a critical next step is for the agency to win the consent of bondholders to transfer outstanding DWSD bonds to the GLWA, which the board said was bolstered by recent improvements in the DWSD's credit outlook by ratings agencies.

"We've been able to take a lot of important steps towards launch," McCormick said in a news release. "But there is still a lot of work to do, and I'm excited to continue my work with the organization. This is an unprecedented time of regional cooperation, and I look forward to working with all of our local and regional partners to assure efficient and reliable service to our customers."

McCormick had been interim CEO of the authority since January. She was appointed DWSD director by then-Mayor Dave Bing in 2012. Before that, she was public services administrator for the City of Ann Arbor. She previously had worked for Lansing's Board of Water and Light for 22 years.

She's a native of the Upper Peninsula and a graduate of Michigan State University.


Contact Matt Helms: 313-222-1450 or mhelms@freepress.com (<mailto:mhelms@freepress.com>). Follow him on Twitter: [@matthelms](https://twitter.com/matthelms) (<http://www.twitter.com/matthelms>).

Read or Share this story: <http://on.freep.com/1RSJllt>

ACLU asks for civil rights investigation in jail death

By Christina Hall, Detroit Free Press 8:16 p.m. EDT October 19, 2015

Group asking for review of jail's treatment of mentally ill, chronically dependent inmates and pay-or-stay sentences



(Photo: Family picture)

The American Civil Liberties Union of Michigan is asking the U.S. Department of Justice to investigate civil rights issues in the death of David Stojcevski, who died from prescription medication withdrawal in the custody of Macomb County Jail officials.

The ACLU's request was made in a letter dated today and also asks the justice department to investigate two civil rights problems it believes the death reveals — systemic problems with the jail's treatment of mentally ill or chronically dependent inmates and pay-or-stay sentences.

Stojcevski, 32, was sent to jail last year because he didn't pay \$772 in fines associated with a failure to appear charge from a traffic ticket in Roseville District Court.

"Mr. Stojcevski's death is an incomprehensible tragedy for those who loved him. For those of us who did not know him, it is a call to action. We urge the Department of Justice to investigate the failures in both the jail and the court system that caused a man who should never have been jailed to suffer a slow, agonizing death on the jail house floor," according to the ACLU letter.

Sheriff Anthony Wickersham said he had not heard about the ACLU request and had no comment. Corporation Counsel John Schapka also declined comment.

Stojcevski died in June 2014 of prescription medication withdrawal, and he lost 50 pounds in the days leading up to his death, according to a lawsuit filed in U.S. District Court in March against the county and Correct Care Solutions, the jail's contracted agency for medical and mental health care.

The defendants are asking for the case to be dismissed. Judge Linda Parker is expected to issue a written opinion after oral arguments were held last week.

Stojcevski was in a mental health cell at the jail for 10 days prior to his death. He was under constant video surveillance and was seen naked and lying on the floor of the cell in videos released to WDIV-TV (Channel 4).

Macomb County Executive Mark Hackel, the former sheriff, said corrections officers checked the welfare of Stojcevski numerous times and notified the contracted medical staff, who make medical determinations. He said the officer who saw Stojcevski's chest stop rising immediately went into the cell and started CPR. Stojcevski was taken to a Mt. Clemens hospital, where he died about 90 minutes later.

The FBI is reviewing Stojcevski's death.

Hackel had said the attorney representing the Stojcevski family tried to strong arm the county of \$25 to \$30 million to settle the matter. He had said the county cares about the welfare of its inmates, many of whom have mental illness and substance-abuse problems.

The county is in the midst of a \$250,000 criminal justice study, which includes the jail, and Hackel supports a central intake to determine whether those picked up by law enforcement need to be locked up or in an appropriate treatment program.

The ACLU states: "The fact that Mr. Stojcevski could, effectively, die on camera, leaves us gravely concerned for the safety of other jail inmates."

It also states it is troubled by county officials' response and said "it is indisputable that something went terribly, terribly wrong."

"The response to Mr. Stojcevski's harrowing death should not be to defend what happened, but to make sure that it will never happen again," according to the letter. "If county leaders are unwilling to learn from Mr. Stojcevski's death and to change the patterns and practices that allowed his death to occur, that itself demonstrates deliberate indifference to the needs of the many other mentally ill and chemically dependent inmates incarcerated in the Macomb County Jail. Given that local officials are evidently unwilling to make changes to prevent future deaths under similar circumstances, federal intervention may be warranted."

The ACLU said it was "unconscionable" Stojcevski was in the jail in the first place, adding that pay-to-stay sentences are "unconstitutional when the poor are incarcerated while the wealthy go free."

"Because there is significant evidence that many Michigan courts routinely impose such sentences without any inquiry into ability to pay, we ask the Department of Justice to investigate these sentencing practices, with an initial focus on Macomb County, where the use of such sentences is already well documented," according to the letter.

It also states that if Stojcevski had been wealthy, he could have paid the \$772 in fines and gone home, but because he was poor, he ended up in jail.

The letter states the ACLU of Michigan and others have documented pay-or-stay sentencing of hundreds, if not thousands, of Michigan citizens.

The ACLU currently has a complaint in Macomb County Circuit Court against the district court in Eastpointe, where it said people were sentenced to pay fines or go to jail.

Earlier this year, it filed a motion asking the circuit court to intervene in 38th District Court in Eastpointe, where the judge refused to stop handing down pay-or-stay sentences. The ACLU said the judge continued the practice. The organization was defending a woman who was facing a pay-or-stay sentence related to \$455 in fines and costs for a dog-license infraction.

A hearing on the Eastpointe matter is set for Nov. 2 in circuit court.

Contact Christina Hall: chall99@freepress.com (<mailto:chall99@freepress.com>). Follow her on Twitter: @challreporter.

Read or Share this story: <http://on.freep.com/1LGRIWC>



MORE STORIES



[Senate gives final passage to teacher evaluation bill](#)

<http://story/news/politics/2015/10/20/senate-gives-final-passage-teacher-evaluation-bill/74265726/>

<http://story/news/politics/2015/10/20/senate-gives-final-passage-teacher-evaluation-bill/74265726/>

Oct. 20, 2015, 11:47 a.m.



[Riley: Where's an education plan for Detroit children?](#)



Mark A. Hackel
County Executive

CORPORATION COUNSEL

1 South Main St., 8th Floor
Mount Clemens, Michigan 48043
Phone: (586) 469-6346 ♦ Fax: (586) 307-8286

75

Corporation Counsel
John A. Schapka

Assistant Corporation Counsel
Frank Krycia
Jill K. Smith
Robert S. Gazall

MEMORANDUM

TO: Robert Mijac, Macomb County Commissioner

FROM: John Schapka, Corporation Counsel *JAS*

DATE: October 13, 2015

RE: Art Authority Millage

This responds to your request for a legal opinion regarding a private citizen's ability to stop the disbursement of the Macomb Art Authority's millage to the Detroit Institute of Arts.

Issue: Does a private citizen have recourse under the law to stop the assessment, collection, or disbursement of Macomb County's Art Authority millage to the Detroit Institute of Art?

Opinion: A private citizen has no recourse under the law to stop the assessment, collection, or transfer of Macomb County's Art Authority millage to the Detroit Institute of Art.

Underlying Basis: Michigan's Art Institute Act, PA 296 of 2010, MCL 121.1201, et. seq., provides for the establishment of county art institute authorities for the purpose of raising tax revenue to support the operation of an art institute. MCL 121.1205(1). Such county authorities are bodies corporate possessing the power to sue and be sued in any court of this state. MCL 121.1205(2). Accordingly, a county art institute authority exists as a legal entity separate and distinct from the corresponding county government.

A county art institute authority may levy a tax of not more than 0.2 mill for a period of not more than 20 years on all of the taxable property within the county for the purpose of providing revenue to an art institute services provider that will be used exclusively for the benefit of the art institute with respect to which the art institute services provider renders services. The authority may levy the tax only if a majority of the electors in the county voting on the tax at a statewide general or primary election approve the tax. The proposal for a tax shall be submitted to a vote of the electors of the authority by resolution of the county's Board of Commissioners. MCL 123.1217(1) and (2). If a majority of the electors in the county voting on the question of a tax approves the proposal, the tax levy is authorized. MCL 123.1217(3).

The Macomb County Art Institute was established under the authority of the Act, and the Macomb County Board of Commissioners thereafter authorized a ballot proposal which read as follows:

Robert Mijac, Macomb County Commissioner

October 13, 2015

Page 2

The Macomb County Art Institute Authority was established pursuant to Public Act 296 of 2010 and formed to allow for continuing support of art institute services for the students, residents and visitors of Macomb County. The law allows the Authority to seek authorization from the electors to levy a tax of not more than 0.2 mill (20 cents per \$1,000 of taxable value) on real and personal property to provide revenue to an art institute services provider for this purpose. Accordingly, to continue providing art institute services to benefit the residents of the County, shall a 0.2 mill on all of the taxable property located within the County be imposed for a period of ten (10) years, being years 2012 through 2021? It is estimated that if approved and levied, this new millage would generate approximately \$4,877,863.36 in 2012.

The proposal was approved on August 7, 2012, by a 50.5% majority of the 125,000 ballots cast.

Once approved, levying and collecting became mandatory.

A tax authorized to be levied by an authority under this act *shall be levied and collected* at the same time and in the same manner as provided by the general property tax act, 1893 PA 206, MCL 211.1 to 211.155.

MCL 123.1223 (emphasis added)

Within 10 business days of the receipt of the funds from the local property tax collecting unit for the tax levied under this act, the authority *shall transfer the funds*, less the amount necessary to fund the payment of obligations incurred by the authority in accordance with this act, to the art institute services provider.

MCL 123.1225 (emphasis added)

The Act provides no further authority under which a county art institute authority may elect to forego levying, collecting, or transferring the funds realized from the art authority millage. Moreover, the Act provides no means of pursuing a private cause of action inuring to the general public, whether acting individually or collectively.

Consonant with the Act, the Art Institute Service Agreement between the Macomb County Art Institute Authority and the Detroit Institute of Arts reflects at Section 3.1 that "The Authority... will levy the approved .20 mil in each successive year for ten (10) years, starting with 2013," and at Section 3.2, "...all funds collected under the authority of *the levy shall be*

Robert Mijac, Macomb County Commissioner
October 13, 2015
Page 3

reserved and transferred solely to the DIA with (sic) ten business days of receipt of the funds from any local property tax collecting unit” (emphasis added).

Similar to the unambiguous statutory language detailed above, the Agreement reflects no provision making the transfer of levy funds to the DIA conditional or optional. The Agreement, in fact, specifically provides at Section 4.10, that it creates no third-party beneficiary rights.

Finding no relief in either statute or the Agreement, a member of the public must look elsewhere for authority to challenge the levy, collection, and transfer of the art authority millage revenue to the DIA.

No state law provides a taxpayer standing to challenge the levy, collection, or transfer of millage revenues to the intended entity or authority. Federal law provides no remedy except in situations in which tax revenues are appropriated in an unconstitutional manner. *Flast v. Cohen*, 392 US 83 (1968). There is neither a factual nor legal basis indicating that the Art Authority millage is unconstitutional in any sense.

JAS/mla

Official Proclamation of the Board of Commissioners
Macomb County, Michigan

**Proclaiming November 2015 as
Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) Awareness Month**

Commissioner Marv Sauger,
On Behalf of the Macomb County Board of Commissioners
Offers the Following Proclamation:

WHEREAS, Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS), also known as Reflex Sympathetic Dystrophy (RSD), is a debilitating and progressively chronic condition characterized by severe burning pain, pathological changes in bone and skin, excessive sweating, tissue swelling, and extreme sensitivity to touch; and

WHEREAS, CRPS, a progressive disease of the Autonomic Nervous System for which there is no cure, causes debilitating pain in women, men, and children of all ethnicities, many of whom go undiagnosed; and

WHEREAS, Many CRPS patients may end up on long-term disability. Patients with this illness live with extreme pain, severe spasms, widespread body pain, extreme fatigue, insomnia, weakness, swelling, short-term memory loss and concentration difficulties; and

WHEREAS, Increased awareness and expanded knowledge of the realities of living with CRPS and its impact on patients' quality of life will allow the community at-large to better support people who struggle with the challenges of this chronic-pain illness.

NOW THEREFORE, Be It Proclaimed By the Board of Commissioners, Speaking For And On Behalf Of All County Citizens as Follows:

I

That By These Presents, the Macomb County Board of Commissioners hereby proclaims **November 2015** as **Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) Awareness Month in Macomb County**, and expresses its support of programs that promote awareness and provide support services to adults and children diagnosed with CRPS and RSD, and their families.

II

Be It Further Resolved that a suitable copy of this Proclamation be presented to **Lauren Lilley** in testimony of the high regard the Board of Commissioners has pertaining to this disease, and her request to recognize it in Macomb County.